

[a / b / c / d / e / f / g / gif / h / hr / k / m / o / p / r / s / t / u / v / vg / vm / vmg / vr / vrp / vst / w / wg] [i / ic] [r9k / s4s / vip / qa]  
 [cm / hm / lgbt / y] [3 / aco / adv / an / bant / biz / cgi / ck / co / diy / fa / fit / gd / hc / his / int / jp / lit / mlp / mu / n / news / out /  
 po / pol / pw / qst / sci / soc / sp / tg / toy / trv / tv / vp / vt / wsg / wsr / x / xs] [Edit] [Settings] [Search] [Mobile] [Home]



## /pol/ - Politically Incorrect

[Return] [Catalog] [Bottom] [Update] [ ☐ Auto]

168 / 41 / 79 / 1

File: [Screenshot 2022-01-15 at \(...\).png](#) (934 KB, 962x1096)



☐ **I'm an expert on Ukraine/Russia/NATO crisis**

**Anonymous** (ID: rOTzWDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:04:25 No.357551080 ►

>>357551141 >>357551150 >>357551275 >>357551295 >>357551320 >>357551347  
 >>357551408 >>357551535 >>357551537 >>357551550 >>357551634 >>357551709  
 >>357551829 >>357551896 >>357551953 >>357552133 >>357552371 >>357552395  
 >>357552426 >>357552588 >>357552911 >>357553883 >>357554544 >>357554754  
 >>357555675 >>357555979 >>357556185 >>357556235 >>357556393 >>357556574  
 >>357556748 >>357557098 >>357559028 >>357559196 >>357559237 >>357559730  
 >>357560798 >>357560908

ask me anything and I will try to respond in a single sentence

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzWDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:06:44  
 No.357551360 ► >>357552124 >>357552686

>>357551128

Yes, there has to be a significant outcome out of this crisis, that will either involve a war or heavy concessions from one of the sides.

>>357551141

no

>>357551150

never experimented

>>357551152

nice

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzWDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:07:53 No.357551504 ►

>>357551224

Not sure, but he's among the few leaders in the world who writes his own speeches and can successfully improves on any press conference.

>>357551347

You shouldn't.

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzWDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:10:43 No.357551853 ► >>357552097 >>357552274

>>357551408

>Will there be invasion or not?

If Russia doesn't get political concessions from USA/NATO then yes.

>>357551535

Depends on your preference, but Russia has bigger variety

>>357551537

Depends on your life goals

>>357551550

probably not

>>357551634

everyone's false flag seems to have fallen through

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzWDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:14:38 No.357552334 ► >>357552491 >>357552613

[>>357551709](#)

>Why are there Neo-Nazi militias but the government is unabashedly controlled by Jews?

Jews invented Nazis to kill other Jews, and neo-Nazis are no different.

[>>357551829](#)

>when do you think it will happen?

Judging by some of the information I've seen, Russia likely has several plans in mind depending on circumstances, and these plans stretch from 3-months to 9-months in length, effective since the military buildup began.

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzwDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:21:11 No.357553100 ► [>>357559445](#)

[>>357551896](#)

>What's the most likely operation if it does go hot?

Shock&awe with the simultaneous use of all 3 domains of warfare (land, sea, air) with a focus on destroying/degrading Ukraine's military capabilities in the fields, and avoiding the cities much as possible.

[>>357551953](#)

>What is the time frame for either concessions by the west or Russia or an armed conflict?

It is believed that the "concessions talk" is primarily used by Moscow as a smokescreen to gain time for it to finish its military build-up on the border. As nobody in the Kremlin really believes NATO would concede, however, wouldn't mind this being the case.

>Do you see America as having enough power to simultaneously sanction and economically hurt Russia while at the same time supporting the energy needs of European and east European countries?

I think Russia prepared itself for the worst possible sanctions, and doesn't care what happens to Europe anymore. I don't see America as anything because I'm not an expert on American energy exports.

[>>357551969](#)

>Isn't the Russian window of opportunity passing away with all the anti tank weapons being brought into Ukraine every day + training?

Good question, and I don't think so. While anti-tank weapons definitely pose a threat, none of the military analysts consider it to be a serious deterrent against an invasion.

[>>357552043](#)

no idea

[>>357552097](#)

>How much time can it take? Few weeks or months? I've heard that talks aren't working. Can we expect something in next weeks?

as mentioned previously, it seems Russia has invested to keep its army on Ukraine's border anywhere between 3 to 9 months (dormant + military operation including)

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzwDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:27:16 No.357553854 ► [>>357554120](#) [>>357554528](#)

[>>357552371](#)

>Why has the USA not yet positioned any troops inside of Ukraine yet as to show solidarity with their supposed commitment?

Solidarity is nice, but evacuating these troops incase of a large Russian invasion would be a headache for both America and for Russia.

>Would the Russian army just steamroller the fuck out of Ukraine and take it with ease as they did with Georgia and Crimea?

That is what most are expecting.

>Is there a chance that this could go nuclear?

Not a single analyst ever touched upon the nukes topic, but I personally believe there is a slim (5%) chance Russia could resort to tactical nukes if its land operation turns out to be a complete disaster, or if the west helps Ukraine gain strategic capabilities.

[>>357552395](#)

>Will Russia actually invade Ukraine?

Highly likely yes.

[>>357552426](#)

>Is this conflict primarily over NATO bases or are there longer-term issues we may not be aware of?

This conflict is primarily about power-balancing.

Powerful/large states are surrounded by weaker/smaller satellite states. A power/large state will never tolerate a heavily armed satellite state that is hostile towards it, and will seek to eliminate it as soon as possible.

[>>357552594](#)

>Will Russia ally with China?

Already did.

[>>357552710](#)

>Do you think Russia could ally US to fight against China?

not in the foreseeable future

[>>357553029](#)

>Is Ukraine worth saving

Yes, but you won't save it by helping it stay hostile to its largest neighbour.

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzwDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:29:08 No.357554089 ►

[>>357553283](#)  
poor Portugal

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzwDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:33:57 No.357554696 ► [>>357560947](#)

[>>357553883](#)

>To what extent does Russia's view that Ukraine is not a real state due to lack of ethnic homogeneity play a role in their current course of action?

close to no role whatsoever. The "not real state" narrative is just used as a justifying factor in political debates, but at the end of the day, Russia's primary motivator for military action is a desire to balance itself / anchor itself against US presence in the region.

>There is no chance. Russia has reserved the right to first use of nuclear weapons in the event that conventional weapons are used that existentially threaten the Russian state. But a failed military offensive will not invite an aggressive nuclear attack. GTFO you LARPer nigger.

1. You pay way too much attention to what's written on paper.

2. A failed large-scale operation in a large country on Russia's border with which it shares the largest frontier can potentially be interpreted by Russia security officials as a threat to their territory.

3. If Ukraine gains sufficient long-range capabilities, it could threaten Russian bases/cities on Russian, and the presence of the threat alone could provoke a nuclear response.

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzwDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:36:18 No.357554989 ► [>>357555496](#)

[>>357554423](#)

>Strelkov and his friend Maxim told me nothing is happening

Strelkov turned into a wannabe Navalny after he got ejected from the Donbass war and memory holed. He tries to stay relevant.

[>>357554528](#)

>What do you think is the most likely outcome to this?

Exactly what NATO says: a massive refactoring of the security landscape in Europe.

[>>357554544](#)

>Which side is with Israel so that i can hate them too.?

None. States primarily focused on the basis of egoistic interests, and on the basis of "enemy of my enemy is my friend".

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzwDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:37:50 No.357555179 ► [>>357555918](#)

[>>357554754](#)

>Will Russia move on the Baltic states too or will they wait another 8 years?

Not Ukraine related, but a move on the Baltics would happen, and could happen, only if the NATO-Russia conflict escalates beyond the Ukrainian war.

>Also the Serbian nationalists seem to have proxy-level Russian ties, why wouldn't they stage a comeback while NATO is tied up?

no idea about Serbs

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzwDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:41:16 No.357555601 ► [>>357555725](#) [>>357555905](#) [>>357556088](#)

[>>357555373](#)

>How much of Ukraine is NATO willing to cede?

it doesn't want to cede any

but it also has no control over the course of the events nor their results

[>>357555496](#)

>Why does the European Union not realize they are the sick man of Europe?

They do realise it, that's why Paris and Berlin are pushing for heavy reforms

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzwDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:46:27 No.357556204 ► [>>357557086](#)

[>>357555675](#)

>Will there be fighting house to house?

No, or at least, Russia's planners are seeking to avoid this at all costs.

>Will the USA interfere with Putin's actions?

Will try to, but USA has very limited options in this particular scenario.

[>>357555770](#)

>why should i care about ukraine

You shouldn't

>>[357555833](#)

>What does Russia actually want out of Ukraine? What is there to gain from this conflict for them?

To return Ukraine to pre-2014 status quo. A pro-western Ukrainian government with military/security ties to the US are seen by Russia as an existential threat, and Russia will go to great lengths to pivot Ukraine both politically and militarily back into Russia's orbit.

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzWDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:54:28 No.357557210 ► >>[357557849](#)

>>[357555918](#)

>is Russia's win condition taking 100% of Ukraine's landmass or would they settle for everything east of the Dnieper?

Russia's ideal scenario is not having to take anything directly in terms of landmass, but rather taking Ukraine politically/bureaucratically - same as they took Belarus in 2020. Not a direct annexation, but rather a heavily infiltration of the state apparatus by the FSB, giving Moscow effectively full control over Belarus' legislation, army, territory, and constitution - but pretending as if Belarus is still an independent state.

>also, what is the time window for the invasion to be a political success for Putin? (30 days? 90 days?)

Russia would likely not tolerate a war that would last more than 2 weeks. But most military analysts predict Russia could achieve its goals in less than a week due to superiority over Ukraine in several domains.

>Will the Russian public support a prolonged quagmire

No, that's why Russia's plan is to go for a quickie

>>[357555979](#)

>What do you think the minimum concessions Putin will take from NATO et. al. to not invade?

Hard one. I don't think there's any minimal concessions. I doubt he would be happy with a ban on Ukraine/Georgia NATO membership alone. Some reduction in NATO activity in Eastern Europe could suffice.

>>[357556088](#)

>How come their economy sucks so hard with how profitable their lands are?

This one is hard to answer, but I personally think that Russia cannot seek proper development until it releases itself from the USD dependency and builds its preferred security status quo.

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzWDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)11:57:23 No.357557559 ► >>[357558016](#) >>[357558538](#)

>>[357556574](#)

>How effective are the stingers Latvia or Estonia are sending?

Effective at leaving Latvia and Estonia without stingers.

>>[357557086](#)

>Is Russia willing to return Crimea to Ukraine?

No, giving Crimea to Ukraine is seen by most Russians as a historical mistake. Plus, neither Russians nor Crimeans would tolerate seeing Crimea being used as a bargaining chip in political games ever again, or at the very least, not in the foreseeable future

>> ☐ **Anonymous** (ID: rOTzWDbE ) 01/22/22(Sat)12:06:39 No.357558763 ► >>[357558966](#) >>[357559282](#) >>[357559454](#)

>>[357557849](#)

>damn ok so all the Ukrainian military has to do is put up token resistance then melt into the civilian population with all their gear and run an insurgency with a constant drip of western money and weapons, if that happens will it look more like Russia's experience in Afghanistan or in Chechnya?

The problem I see with this, is that this kind of insurgency would end up posing a much higher threat to Ukraine's population, rather than it would pose any threat to Russian troops. This topic by itself is the most difficult one to assess and make predictions about, but I expect Russia has some multi-layered plan for this scenario as well, as they are well aware of the heavy insurgency component in Ukraine.

>>[357557849](#)

>also I noticed you say that Russia has more or less sanction-proofed its economy, does that include if it gets cut out of SWIFT?

Yes. That's what I've heard at least. Russia and China were working on alternatives together and individually. And not long after the military buildup became known, Belarus teased switching its central bank to Russia's "SWIFT alternative"

>>[357558016](#)

>Then Russia does not want a return to pre-2014 status quo. Answer the question again: what does Russia actually want out of Ukraine?

To return to pre-2014 status quo.

Crimea has nothing to do with it, because Crimea's presence inside our outside of Ukraine's jurisdiction has no impact on the mentioned status quo.

22/01/2022, 12:30

/pol/ - I'm an expert on Ukraine/Russia/NATO crisis - Politically Incorrect - 4chan

>> 

Anonymous (ID: rOTzwDbE )

01/22/22(Sat)12:08:24 No.357558972 ▶ >>357559503

>>357558538

I've no idea what you're on about. You misquoted my post.

>> 

Anonymous (ID: rOTzwDbE )

01/22/22(Sat)12:09:42 No.357559134 ▶

>>357558966

>This is Jew-tier pilpul

it's you unilaterally placing some kind of weird interpretation on the words "pre-2014 status quo"

When I said pre-2014 status quo I meant Ukraine's political alignment. Not Ukraine's square miles.

>> 

Anonymous (ID: rOTzwDbE )

01/22/22(Sat)12:12:29 No.357559486 ▶ >>357561044

>>357559196

>cyberwarfare

good point, no idea how these new toys impact military outcomes, so we'll have to wait and see

going to bed

this was fun

[\[Return\]](#) [\[Catalog\]](#) [\[Top\]](#) [\[Update\]](#) [ ☐ Auto]

[\[Post a Reply\]](#)

168 / 41 / 79 / 1

b