The Biodigital Convergence: A Strategic Analysis of the Military, Corporate, and Technological Nexus of Brain-Computer Interfaces

I. Executive Summary

This report provides a strategic analysis of the accelerating field of Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCI), examining the convergence of state-level military research, a deeply integrated corporate nexus, and the foundational technologies enabling a new paradigm of human-machine interaction. The analysis finds that BCI development is no longer confined to restorative medicine but has become a strategic national security domain, driven by a global race to achieve human-machine augmentation.

The field's trajectory is defined by a "pincer movement." On one side, the U.S. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) is aggressively funding a portfolio of non-surgical neurotechnologies (the N3 Program) explicitly for "able-bodied service members." These initiatives aim to weaponize frontier technologies—including injectable, magnetically-guided nanoparticles, gene therapies to create "magnetic neurons," and acousto-optical "mind-writing" devices—to allow soldiers to control drone swarms and cyber-defense systems at the "speed of thought."

On the other side, a quasi-private entity, the "Musk Constellation," is pursuing the high-bandwidth *invasive* BCI path via Neuralink, with the stated long-term goal of achieving "symbiosis with artificial intelligence." While Neuralink itself lacks direct DARPA funding, this analysis concludes that the Musk empire functions as a deeply integrated strategic asset of the U.S. national security apparatus. This systemic alignment is evidenced by the government's profound, multi-billion-dollar dependency on Musk's *other* companies: SpaceX for critical national security and NATO-allied space launches, and xAI, which holds a $200 million Pentagon contract for the *exact* AI "decoder" technology upon which all advanced BCI systems depend. The centralized management of this constellation, unified by a single executive holding leadership roles across Neuralink (CEO), xAI (CFO/Secretary), and The Boring Company (Director), confirms a coordinated, synergistic entity.

This convergence is enabled by breakthroughs in artificial intelligence, which acts as the

indispensable "decoder" for noisy neural signals. As the technology races forward, it is creating a profound governance and security vacuum. Current legal frameworks are unprepared for the emergence of "thought data" and "biocybersecurity" threats. The primary risk identified is not merely the loss of mental privacy (mind-reading), but the loss of individual autonomy through malicious, non-invasive *signal injection* (mind-writing)—a threat for which no legal or technical defense currently exists.

II. The New Strategic Domain: Biodigital Convergence and the Post-Human Future

To comprehend the strategic drivers of BCI technology, one must first understand the philosophical paradigm shift that enables its development. The pursuit of BCI is not merely an engineering challenge; it is the vanguard of a new philosophical and scientific era that redefines the relationship between humanity and technology.

2.1 Defining the Paradigm: Biodigital Convergence

The foundational concept is "biodigital convergence," defined as the interactive combination and merging of digital technologies and biological systems.[1] This convergence is not a distant future scenario; it is an active process occurring in three distinct, parallel ways:

1. **Full Physical Integration:** The direct merging of biological and digital entities, as seen in BCI implants.[2]
2. **Coevolution:** The parallel development of biological and digital technologies, such as using AI to understand cellular processes or using DNA for data storage.[2]
3. **Conceptual Convergence:** A philosophical shift where biology itself is reframed as an "information system".[3]

This new, "postdigital" scientific paradigm treats "biology as digital information, and digital information as biology" as "dialectically interconnected".[4] The implications of this reframing are transformative, poised to disrupt all assumptions about our society, economy (via a new "bioeconomy" [4]), and our bodies.[1] Brain-Computer Interfaces are a prime example of this convergence in action.[7]

2.2 The Philosophical Endpoint: Post-Humanism and the "Cyborg"

This technological convergence provides the tools for a new philosophical framework: Post-Humanism. Post-Humanism is a mode of thought that *decenters* the human, challenging traditional, anthropocentric views of existence.[8] It rejects the simple binaries that have historically defined "humanity": human vs. non-human, natural vs. artificial, and, most critically, biological vs. mechanical.[8]

While often confused with Transhumanism—which seeks to *empower* and *transcend* the human, a fundamentally humanist goal—Post-Humanism seeks a *non-hierarchical relationship* between humans and non-human entities, including animals and machines.[9] (However, some sources use the terms interchangeably to describe radical human alteration via technology [13]).

The literal manifestation of this concept is the "cyborg," or "cybernetic organism," a hybrid blend of the human and technological.[14] BCI is a key "human enhancement technology" (HET) that enables this physical and cognitive merging.[14] This pursuit moves beyond simple medical restoration and raises fundamental questions about consciousness, identity, autonomy, and what it means to be human.[10]

This philosophical groundwork is not merely an academic consequence of the technology; it is a critical *enabler* of it. By establishing biodigital convergence as an inevitable scientific paradigm [1], it provides the strategic and ethical "permission structure" for high-risk, high-investment research into human enhancement. It allows policymakers and funding agencies to reframe the human brain and genome as just another "information system" [3] to be engineered, optimized, and integrated. This lays the foundation for a "new biopolitics" [5] where the boundaries of the human body are subject to technological and state-level intervention.

III. Anatomy of a Brain-Computer Interface: The Technological Stack

A BCI is defined as a direct communication link between the brain's electrical activity and an external device, such as a computer or robotic limb, which bypasses the body's natural peripheral nervous system.[18] Every BCI system, regardless of its design, is built on a technological stack comprising sensors (hardware) and decoders (software).

3.1 Foundational Definition and Categories

BCI implementations are categorized by their level of invasiveness, which dictates a fundamental trade-off between signal quality and medical risk.[18]

- **Non-invasive:** No surgery is required. Sensors are placed on the scalp (e.g., EEG, MEG) or are external imagers (e.g., fMRI).[18]
- **Partially invasive:** Some physical intrusion occurs, such as electrodes placed under the scalp (Electrocorticography, ECoG) or devices routed through blood vessels (endovascular).[18]
- **Invasive:** Requires open-brain surgery to implant a microelectrode array directly into the brain's grey matter.[18]

Invasive devices produce the highest quality signals with the greatest bandwidth. However,

they carry significant risks, including surgical complications, infection, and the build-up of scar tissue, which can degrade the signal over time.[18]

3.2 Current Non-Invasive Modalities: The Problem of the Skull

The primary challenge for non-invasive BCI is the human skull, which scatters and weakens the brain's faint electromagnetic signals.

- **Electroencephalography (EEG):** This is the most common non-invasive BCI, measuring the brain's electrical fields via scalp electrodes.[18] Its advantages are low cost, portability, and relative tolerance to subject movement.[19] However, it suffers from a low signal-to-noise ratio and poor spatial resolution. Despite these limitations, EEG has been used in BCI systems since at least 1999 to allow a quadriplegic patient to control a computer cursor and hand-nerve controllers.[19] It remains the basis for most commercial BCI companies, such as **Bitbrain** [20] and the former **NextMind**.[18]
- **Magnetoencephalography (MEG):** MEG measures the weak magnetic fields produced by neuronal activity.[22] Because magnetic fields are less distorted by the skull than electrical fields, MEG offers an exceptional combination of high temporal resolution (like EEG) and high spatial resolution.[23] It is a powerful and precise tool for BCI research, used in applications from gesture decoding to controlling a flight simulator.[26] Its strategic limitation is that it is non-trivial, requiring multi-million-dollar, room-sized machines and magnetically-shielded environments, making it completely non-portable.[24]
- **Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI):** This technology does not measure neural activity directly. Instead, it measures the *hemodynamic response*—the change in blood flow and oxygenation—that is coupled with neuronal activation.[30] It provides excellent spatial resolution, localizing activity to specific brain structures.[32] Its critical drawback is a poor temporal resolution; the blood-flow response is delayed and slow, lagging seconds behind the "speed of thought".[34] Its primary BCI application is therefore not fast control, but **real-time fMRI neurofeedback (rt-fMRI NF)**, a system that allows a subject to *see* their own brain activity and *learn* to modulate it voluntarily.[31] It is also a vital non-invasive tool for pre-surgical planning to identify target locations for *invasive* implants.[39]

3.3 The "Decoder Ring": The Indispensable Role of Artificial Intelligence

The sensor hardware described above is only one half of a functional BCI. The hardware provides a stream of complex, high-dimensional, and exceptionally noisy data. This data is unintelligible without a powerful "decoder"—a role filled entirely by **Artificial Intelligence (AI)**.

AI algorithms are essential for the core BCI pipeline: signal processing, feature extraction, and classification of neural data.[40] While traditional machine learning (ML) has been used for years, **Deep Learning (DL)**—a subset of AI using multi-layered neural networks—is the state-of-the-art that makes modern BCI possible. Deep Learning models can "learn complex feature representations from raw signals" [41] and have been shown to "significantly increase" decoding accuracy over conventional methods.[43]

Different AI architectures are required for different types of BCI data [44]:

- **Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)** are used to analyze *spatial* features, such as fMRI data patterns or the topographic map of an EEG signal.[43]
- **Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)** and their derivatives (like LSTMs) are used to analyze *temporal* sequences, which is essential for decoding continuous movement or emotion from EEG signals.[44]

The development of advanced, real-time AI algorithms, such as the DFINE method, is the key to creating systems that can decode a user's intent—like moving a robotic arm or synthesizing speech—from their thoughts, even in the presence of missing or noisy data.[16] This creates a critical, non-negotiable dependency: **the most advanced BCI will require the most advanced AI.** A nation or corporation seeking dominance in BCI *must* therefore also seek dominance in AI. This technological synergy is the primary link between seemingly separate corporate ventures like Neuralink (BCI) and xAI (AI).

3.4 Frontier Sensor Tech (1): Smartdust and Neural Dust

To overcome the limitations of both invasive (wires, degradation) and non-invasive (the skull) BCI, research has focused on two technological frontiers. The first is the "minutely invasive" path.

- **Smartdust:** This is the parent concept, defined as a system of tiny microelectromechanical systems (MEMS)—devices the size of dust particles—equipped with sensing, computation, and wireless communication capabilities.[48]
- **Neural Dust:** This is the explicit application of Smartdust to neurotechnology.[18] It consists of a large array of nanometer-to-millimeter-sized, wireless, batteryless nerve sensors, or "motes".[49]

In a theoretical BCI application, thousands of these motes would be "sprinkled" into the cerebral cortex.[52] They solve the power and data problem by using **ultrasound**. An external transceiver (e.g., in a helmet) transmits ultrasound waves *to* the motes. A piezoelectric crystal on the mote converts this ultrasonic (mechanical) energy into electrical energy, powering the sensor.[49] The mote's sensor records the neural activity and uses that electricity to send a signal back, which the external transceiver then reads.[49] This system's goal is to create a

scalable, wireless, and *chronic* (lifetime) neural interface that avoids the infection and degradation risks of wired electrodes.[55]

3.5 Frontier Sensor Tech (2): Terahertz (THz) Radiation

The second frontier is the "non-contact" path, which seeks to bypass the skull barrier entirely. This involves **Terahertz (THz) radiation**, or T-rays, which occupy the electromagnetic spectrum between microwaves and infrared light.[59]

THz radiation is non-ionizing (unlike X-rays) [59] and its frequencies (0.1 THz to 10 THz) are uniquely resonant with the natural motion, vibration, and relaxation timescales of biomolecules like proteins and DNA.[59] This unique property allows THz waves to *interact directly with neural processes* from a distance. Research has demonstrated that THz radiation can:

- **Modulate Neural Activity (Write):** THz waves can alter neuronal firing rates and action potentials [63], affect synaptic transmission [64], and promote or disrupt neuronal growth.[61] The mechanism is believed to be the excitation of non-linear resonance effects in proteins, which in turn affects ion channels and cell membranes.[62]
- **Image Neural Tissue (Read):** THz is also being explored as a novel neuroimaging technique [68], with some studies suggesting it can provide higher-contrast imaging than MRI.[69]

These two frontier technologies represent the two "holy grails" of BCI development. Neural Dust represents the potential endgame for a high-bandwidth *invasive* interface by eliminating wires and degradation. THz radiation represents the potential endgame for a *non-contact* interface that can read and write to the brain through the skull. As the following sections will show, these are the exact technologies being pursued for militarization.

IV. Comparative Analysis of BCI Modalities and Enabling Technologies

The diverse BCI landscape, from established research tools to frontier concepts, can be summarized by comparing their core attributes and strategic purpose. Table 1 provides a comparative analysis of the key BCI modalities and the indispensable software that enables them.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of BCI Modalities and Enabling Technologies

Modality/Technology	Principle of Operation	Invasiveness	Key Advantage(s)	Key Limitation(s)	Strategic Relevance (Citations)
EEG	Measures electrical fields [19]	Non-Invasive	Low cost, portable, high temporal res. [19]	Low spatial res., low signal-to-noise [19]	Consumer BCI, entry-level research [18, 20]
MEG	Measures magnetic fields [22]	Non-Invasive	High temporal & spatial res. [24]	Extremely high cost, not portable [24]	Advanced research, BCI development [23, 26, 27]
fMRI	Measures blood-oxygen levels [30]	Non-Invasive	High spatial res. [32]	Indirect signal, poor temporal res. [31, 34]	Neurofeedback, pre-surgical planning [35, 39]
Invasive Array	Direct electrode-neuron contact [18]	Highly Invasive	Highest signal quality & bandwidth [18]	Surgery risk, degradation, non-chronic [18, 58]	Medical restoration, high-fidelity research [18, 70]
Neural Dust	Ultrasound-powered nano-sensors [49]	Minutely Invasive	Wireless, scalable, chronic (lifetime) [55, 58]	Requires "sprinkling" in brain [52]	The "endgame" for high-bandwidth invasive BCI [51, 58]
Terahertz (THz)	Non-contact wave-neuron interaction [63]	Non-Contact	Remote modulation, bypasses skull [68]	Low power, thermal effects, nascent [63, 67]	The "endgame" for non-contact BCI [68, 71]
AI / Deep Learning	Neural signal decoding [40]	N/A (Software)	*Makes BCI functional.* [43, 72]	Computationally intensive, "black box" [46]	*The indispensable enabling technology for all BCI.* [73]

V. The Prime Mover: DARPA and the Militarization of Neurotechnology

The development of BCI is not purely a commercial or medical endeavor. The U.S. Department of Defense (DoD), through its "emerging technologies" R&D agency, DARPA, has been a primary driver of neurotechnology for decades, with a clear and accelerating interest in moving from medical restoration to military augmentation.

5.1 DARPA's History of BCI and Dual-Use Research

DARPA, created in 1958 [74], has been funding BCI research since the 1970s.[75] Its interest in neuroscience is based on the "dual-use" nature of the field: technologies developed for civilian medical purposes are often directly applicable to national security and military needs.[76]

DARPA's portfolio of neurotechnology and human-machine integration projects has been extensive [74]:

- **RE-NET Program:** Explored BCI to control advanced prosthetic limbs for amputees.
- **Cyborg Insects (HI-MEMS):** A program to develop "tightly coupled machine-insect interfaces" by implanting MEMS into insects during metamorphosis, allowing them to be remotely controlled for surveillance.[74]
- **Shark Implants:** A project to develop neural implants to remotely control sharks, exploiting their natural senses for underwater surveillance.[74]
- **Stentrode:** DARPA provided seed funding for the technology that became Synchron's endovascular BCI, which avoids open-brain surgery.[74]

5.2 The N3 Program: Nonsurgical Neurotechnology for the Warfighter

DARPA's flagship BCI initiative is the **Next-Generation Nonsurgical Neurotechnology (N3) program**.[78] This program represents a clear and explicit strategic pivot from *restorative* BCI for patients to *augmentative* BCI for healthy soldiers.

The Goal: The N3 program's objective is to develop a high-performance, *bi-directional* (read/write) BCI that does *not* require surgical implantation.[79]

The Target User: While most BCI research focuses on clinical applications for patients with disabilities, the N3 program is unequivocally designed for **"able-bodied service members"**.[78]

The Stated Applications: The intended uses are purely military. They include:

- Control of **unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) swarms**.[79]
- Control of **active cyber defense systems**.[79]
- **Human-AI Teaming** to enable enhanced multitasking during complex military missions.[78]

The Strategic Justification: DARPA's stated rationale is that future conflicts involving AI, unmanned systems, and cyber operations will "play out on timelines that are too short for humans to effectively manage".[80] The N3 program seeks to create a non-invasive interface to keep human commanders "meaningfully involved" in this high-speed, machine-centric battlespace.

This program is the *de facto* "cyborg soldier" initiative. The concept of a soldier (an "able-bodied service member") whose brain is non-surgically and bi-directionally linked to a

weapons system (a "drone swarm") is the literal, 21st-century definition of a cybernetic organism [14] deployed for military purposes. This is not a speculative interpretation; it is the public-facing goal of the N3 program.

VI. Analysis: The N3 Program's Competing Pathways to a Nonsurgical Interface

The N3 program is not a single project but a competitive R&D portfolio designed to solve the non-invasive BCI problem. In 2019, DARPA awarded funding to six multidisciplinary teams, splitting them into two tracks: "minutely invasive" (e.g., injectables) and "completely noninvasive" (e.g., external headsets).[80]

This portfolio is a systematic effort to operationalize the frontier technologies identified in Section III.

6.1 Track 1 (Minutely Invasive) - Battelle (BrainSTORMS)

The Battelle team is developing an **"injectable, bi-directional brain-computer interface"**.[78] This project is the militarized application of the "Neural Dust" concept.

- **Technology: BrainSTORMS** (Brain System to Transmit Or Receive Magnetoelectric Signals).[78]
- **Mechanism:** It uses **Magnetoelectric Nanotransducers (MEnTs)**, thousands of which can fit across a human hair.[78] These MEnTs are *injected* into the circulatory system and then *magnetically guided* to a specific, targeted area of the brain.[78]
- **Interface:** An external, helmet-based transceiver communicates with the MEnTs. For "writing," the helmet sends a magnetic signal, which the MEnTs convert to an electrical impulse to stimulate the neuron. For "reading," the MEnTs' magnetic core converts the neuron's electrical signal into a magnetic one, which the helmet detects.[87]
- **Status:** Battelle successfully completed Phase I, demonstrating precise bi-directional interfacing, and has advanced to Phase II of the program.[78]

6.2 Track 1 (Minutely Invasive) - Rice University (MOANA)

The Rice University-led team is pursuing the "Biodigital Convergence" path: *editing the human* to be machine-readable.[88]

- **Technology: MOANA**, a nonsurgical BCI that requires gene therapy.[88]
- **Mechanism:** A payload (likely via a viral vector) is delivered to neurons in the visual cortex.[88] This payload forces the neurons to create proteins that tether iron nanoparticles to their ion channels.[88]
- **Interface:** These modified cells become "magnetic super-neurons".[89] An external magnetic headset can then "write" information to these specific neurons to recreate visual patterns.
- **Goal:** The team's explicit, stated goal is "direct, brain-to-brain communication at the speed of thought" [89], with the aim of transferring visual images from one person (e.g., a sighted soldier) to another.[88]
- **Status:** The project received $8 million in follow-up funding for preclinical demonstrations.[90]

6.3 Track 2 (Completely Noninvasive) - Johns Hopkins APL

The Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory (APL) team is developing a "completely noninvasive, coherent optical system".[80] They are treating the challenge as "fundamentally a remote-sensing problem".[91] Their approach aims to use advanced optics to detect minute *neural tissue deformations* associated with brain activity, reading them at high resolution *through* the skull.[91]

6.4 Track 2 (Completely Noninvasive) - Carnegie Mellon University (CMU)

The CMU team, which received a $19.48 million grant [93], is developing a noninvasive, bi-directional device using waves.

- **Reading:** It uses an **acousto-optical** approach, where ultrasound waves are used to *guide light* into and out of the brain to detect neural activity.[80]
- **Writing:** It uses "interfering electrical fields" to non-invasively stimulate specific, localized neurons.[80]

6.5 Other N3 Teams: PARC and Teledyne

PARC (Palo Alto Research Center) and **Teledyne** lead the other two multidisciplinary teams pursuing completely non-invasive approaches.[80] The involvement of PARC is notable; analysis of the N3 program's goals (which PARC is part of) states that "remotely sensing and modulating" brain operations "is fundamentally 'mind reading' and 'mind control'".[95] PARC also holds a separate $5.8 million DARPA contract (AMIGOS) to develop AI and Augmented Reality to guide specialists in complex physical tasks [96], demonstrating deep expertise in the exact human-machine guidance systems the N3 program seeks to enable.

VII. Comparative Analysis of DARPA N3 Program Approaches

DARPA's N3 program is not a monolithic effort but a diversified portfolio of high-risk, high-reward bets. The six selected teams are pursuing fundamentally different physics and biology to achieve the same military objective. Table 2 breaks down these competing approaches.

Table 2: Comparative Analysis of DARPA N3 Program Approaches

Lead Team	Project Name	Technology / Mechanism	Invasiveness Level (per DARPA)	Key Goal (Citations)
Battelle	**BrainSTORMS**	Injected, magnetically-guided Magnetoelectric Nanotransducers (MEnTs) [78]	Minutely Invasive [80]	Bi-directional (read/write) helmet-based control system [78, 87]
Rice University	**MOANA**	Gene therapy to create "magnetic super-neurons" sensitive to external headset [88]	Minutely Invasive [88]	Brain-to-brain communication of visual data [88, 90]
Johns Hopkins APL	(Not Named)	Coherent optical system to "remote sense" neural tissue deformations [91]	Completely Noninvasive [80]	High-resolution read capability through the skull [92]

Carnegie Mellon	(Not Named)	Acousto-optical (ultrasound-guided light) for reading; interfering electric fields for writing [80]	Completely Noninvasive [80]	High-res, bi-directional, noninvasive read/write [94]
PARC	(Not Named)	Nonsurgical approach.[80]	Completely Noninvasive (TBC)	"Mind reading" and "mind control" for comms [80, 95]
Teledyne	(Not Named)	Nonsurgical approach.[80]	Completely Noninvasive (TBC)	Bi-directional wearable interface [80]

VIII. The Musk Constellation: A Strategic Dossier on the Public-Private Nexus

Parallel to the state-level military push, a massive, quasi-private effort is underway, centered on the corporate "constellation" managed by Elon Musk. An analysis of this constellation's funding, technological synergy, and administrative structure indicates it is not an independent commercial actor, but a deeply integrated, strategically aligned asset of the U.S. national security apparatus.

8.1 Overview: The Musk Empire and U.S. Government Dependency

Elon Musk's [97] corporate empire is built upon a foundation of substantial U.S. government funding. Analyses estimate his businesses have received at least $38 billion in state and federal contracts, loans, subsidies, and tax credits since 2003.[98] This includes at least $15.4 billion in federal contracts alone.[100] This has created a deep, symbiotic dependency: the U.S. government relies on Musk's companies for critical services, and Musk's companies are fueled by public funds.[99]

8.2 Neuralink: The Invasive BCI Spearhead

Neuralink, co-founded by Musk in 2016 [97], is the BCI capstone of this empire.

- **Technology:** It is a *highly invasive* BCI. It uses a proprietary "sewing machine-like" robot to surgically implant thousands of flexible, polymer "threads" (only $4 \text{ to } 6 \text{ \mu m}$ wide) deep into the brain.[101] These threads connect to the N1 "Link" chip (the

size of a coin) [103], which wirelessly transmits data from 1,024 electrodes.[101]

- **Goal:** The stated short-term goal is medical: treating paralysis, blindness, and other brain diseases.[101] The long-term, strategic goal is explicit: **human enhancement** and achieving **"symbiosis with artificial intelligence"**.[101]
- **Funding and Status:** Neuralink is privately funded, with Musk himself providing $100 million of its early $158 million [102] and VCs funding subsequent rounds.[104] Public databases show *no direct DARPA funding* for Neuralink.[98] The company implanted its first human participant in January 2024 [101] and has since implanted a *U.S. military veteran* in collaboration with The Miami Project.[105]

8.3 xAI and SpaceX: The AI and Logistics Backbone (The DoD/NATO Link)

The "DARPA-NATO controlled" nature of this constellation is not found in a direct grant to Neuralink. It is found in the systemic, multi-billion-dollar integration of Musk's *other* companies, which provide the two technologies BCI is entirely dependent on: **AI (the decoder)** and **infrastructure (the platform)**.

- **SpaceX / Starlink (Infrastructure):** SpaceX is a primary contractor for the U.S. national security state. It holds *billions* in DoD contracts (over $9.5 billion) [106] to launch the nation's most critical spy satellites and military assets for the U.S. Space Force and Air Force.[99] Furthermore, its Starlink service has become a *de facto* strategic asset for NATO, proven by its indispensable role in the Ukraine conflict.[109] This has created an acknowledged "dependency" of NATO allies on this single, U.S.-based private actor.[109] The U.S. Space Force uses SpaceX for joint payload launches with NATO allies like Norway [111], and NATO itself features SpaceX imagery in its official Space Policy documents.[112]
- **xAI (The AI Decoder):** The link is even more direct. In July 2025, the Pentagon's Chief Digital and AI Office (CDAO) awarded Musk's AI company, **xAI**, a contract worth up to **$200 million**.[113] The contract is to "accelerate the department's enterprise-wide adoption" of advanced AI [113]—the very "decoder" technology that Neuralink's hardware requires. This contract was awarded under "questionable circumstances" [113], *after* xAI's "Grok" chatbot was criticized for generating offensive and antisemitic content.[113] The DoD's decision to proceed despite these serious "red flags" indicates a profound strategic necessity for xAI's specific technology.

8.4 The Central "Fixer": Jared Birchall

The administrative structure of the Musk constellation confirms it is a single, coordinated

entity. **Jared Birchall** [119], the head of Musk's family office (Excession LLC) [119], functions as the central "fixer" and administrative hub.[121] He holds simultaneous, high-level executive positions across the synergistic companies [121]:

- **Neuralink (BCI):** Chief Executive Officer (CEO).[119]
- **xAI (AI):** Secretary and/or Chief Financial Officer (CFO).[119]
- **The Boring Company (Infrastructure):** Director / Executive Director.[119]

This highly irregular corporate structure, where one man is the top executive of the BCI company and the top financial/legal officer of the AI company, demonstrates that at the administrative, financial, and legal levels, these are not separate ventures. Birchall is the lynchpin ensuring that Neuralink (the BCI) and xAI (the AI) develop in lockstep, as a single, centrally-managed operation. Neuralink does not require a direct DARPA grant because it is the capstone project of an empire already funded by, and systemically indispensable to, the U.S. and NATO defense establishment.

IX. The Broader Commercial Ecosystem: Competitors and Acquisitions

The DARPA/Musk axis does not operate in a vacuum. It is part of a dynamic commercial ecosystem characterized by specialized innovation, intense competition, and strategic consolidation.

9.1 The "Invasive" Competitors

Neuralink faces several key rivals in the high-bandwidth implantable BCI space:

- **Synchron:** A leading competitor whose **Stentrode** device [70] represents a different invasive path. It is *endovascular*, delivered via a catheter in the jugular vein to sit inside a blood vessel over the motor cortex, avoiding open-brain surgery.[70] As noted, this technology was seeded by DARPA.[74]
- **Blackrock Neurotech:** The incumbent, long-time builder of the "Utah Array" [70], a rigid microelectrode array used in the majority of BCI research for the past two decades.[102] They are focused on a take-home BCI system for tetraplegia.[70]

- **Paradromics:** Developing the **Connexus** high-bandwidth cortical implant.[70] This company is another recipient of DARPA funding.[103]
- **Precision Neuroscience:** Developing the "Layer 7 Cortical Interface," an ultra-thin, flexible film (like Saran-wrap for the brain) that conforms to the brain's surface with minimal disruption.[70]

9.2 The "Non-Invasive" and Niche Innovators

- **Neurable:** This company is focused on the consumer market, developing non-invasive BCI based on cognitive-measurement. Its products include the **MW75 Neuro headphones** [70] that use EEG to measure attention and cognitive load.[70] The company is also in "conversations with the military".[70]
- **Bitbrain:** A B2B company specializing in high-tech, research-grade EEG devices and software for neuroscience research, BCI, and neuromarketing.[20]
- **Meltin MMI (Melting Mind Interface):** A notable Japanese venture focused explicitly on "Cyborg Technology".[124] Meltin demonstrates a parallel, near-term "cyborg" path. Rather than focusing on complex brain signals, its core technology is high-accuracy *biosignal processing* of *myoelectricity* (muscle signals).[124] This allows a user to intuitively control sophisticated, remote-operable "avatar robots" like the **MELTANT-α** [125], which has applications in disaster relief, space (JAXA is a client), and medicine.[125]

9.3 Strategic Acquisition: The Case of Snap and NextMind

The trajectory of the non-invasive market is best illustrated by the acquisition of NextMind.

- **The Product:** NextMind was a Paris-based startup that developed an innovative, non-invasive EEG headband with dry electrodes.[21] It was sold as a $399 developer kit, making an accessible, open BCI platform available to the public.[18]
- **The Acquisition:** In March 2022, **Snap Inc.** (parent of Snapchat) acquired NextMind.[135]
- **The Result:** Snap *immediately discontinued* the NextMind headband and dev kit, removing the open platform from the market.[137] The NextMind team was absorbed into Snap Lab, Snap's hardware R&D division, to work on long-term augmented reality (AR) research for its Spectacles glasses.[136]

This move is a case study in corporate consolidation. A promising, accessible, non-invasive BCI was taken off the market to serve the long-term R&D of a tech giant. This suggests the future of BCI will not be an open, competitive hardware market, but one dominated by a few massive, closed, "walled-garden" ecosystems (Musk's Neuralink/xAI, Snap's AR, and Meta's [140]). Notably, Snap also quietly acquired **GrAI Matter Labs**, another Paris-based AI chip company that had received a $1 million grant from DARPA.[141] This demonstrates a pattern: DARPA seeds the technology, and major U.S. corporations acquire it.

X. Profile of Leading Commercial & Military-Funded BCI Ventures

The strategic BCI landscape is dominated by two forces: the DARPA-funded military/medical portfolio and the Musk-led human-enhancement venture. Table 3 provides a "battlefield map" comparing the key players, their technologies, their goals, and their primary backers.

Table 3: Profile of Leading Commercial & Military-Funded BCI Ventures

Company / Project	Key Technology	Invasiveness	Primary Goal	Key Backer(s) (Citations)
Neuralink	"Threads" Microelectrodes [101]	Highly Invasive	AI-Human Symbiosis [101]	Elon Musk, VCs [102, 104]
Synchron	Stentrode [70]	Partially Invasive (Endovascular)	Medical Restoration [70]	DARPA (seed) [74], VCs
Blackrock Neurotech	Utah Array [70]	Highly Invasive	Medical Restoration [70]	VCs
Paradromics	Connexus® Cortical Implant [70]	Highly Invasive	High-bandwidth Data [70]	DARPA, VCs [103]
Battelle	BrainSTORMS	Minutely Invasive (Injectable)	Military Augmentation [87]	DARPA [78]

(DARPA)	(MEnTs) [78]			
Rice Univ. (DARPA)	MOANA [88]	Minutely Invasive (Gene Therapy)	Military Augmentation [88]	DARPA [90]
NextMind (Acquired)	EEG Headband [21]	Non-Invasive	Developer Kit / AR Control [18]	Acquired by Snap [136, 139]
Meltin MMI	Biosignal Processing [124]	Non-Invasive (Myoelectric)	Cyborg Avatars [125, 127]	VCs, JAXA [124, 125]
Neurable	EEG Headphones [70]	Non-Invasive	Consumer Cognitive Metrics [70]	VCs, Military talks [70]

XI. The Battlefield of the Mind: Security, Governance, and 'Neurorights'

The aggressive, dual-pronged development of BCI by state and corporate actors has outpaced all legal, ethical, and security frameworks. This technology opens a new, unregulated domain of conflict and control, where the human brain itself becomes the battlefield.

11.1 The Inherent Dual-Use Dilemma

Neuroscience is an inherently dual-use field.[76] A BCI developed to "write" visual signals into the brain to cure blindness (a goal of Neuralink's "Blindsight" [18]) uses the same principles as a BCI to "write" visual data from a drone into a soldier's brain (a goal of Rice's "MOANA" [88]). The N3 program, while holding medical promise, is explicit about its goal of military augmentation.[80] This development creates an ethical "Pandora's Box" [95], raising profound, unresolved questions about autonomy, inequality, and personhood.[16]

11.2 Biocybersecurity: A New Threat Domain

The connection of the human brain to a digital network introduces unprecedented cybersecurity vulnerabilities. The BCI becomes an attack vector to compromise the human user. These threats are twofold:

1. **Data Interception (Reading):** Neural data is the most sensitive personal data imaginable.[146] Attackers can intercept this data [147], especially from wireless BCIs, which are vulnerable to a range of known Bluetooth attacks (e.g., Bluebugging, Bluesnarfing, BLE Spoofing).[148] This enables the theft of "thought data" [150] for surveillance or exploitation.
2. **Malicious Signal Injection (Writing):** This is the far more catastrophic threat. Because the most advanced BCI systems are bi-directional (designed to "write" signals *into* the brain), an attacker can "send fake signals to the BCI device" [147] or "induce unwanted actions".[146] This is known as "BCI Whispering" [148] or "Neural Flooding".[151]

The implications of a successful "write" attack are existential. A malicious actor could "alter emotional responses, or distort an individual's perception of reality".[151] This moves cyberwarfare from a conflict over information *systems* to a conflict over human *consciousness and autonomy*. The greatest strategic threat of BCI is not espionage (mind-reading), but sabotage (mind-control).

11.3 The Governance Vacuum and the Rise of "Neurorights"

The technology is developing far faster than the law.[150] This has created a profound governance vacuum.

- **The Legal Gap:** Existing privacy regimes, such as the EU's GDPR, do *not* explicitly cover "brain-derived data".[150] An analysis of consumer BCI privacy policies found that most do not even guarantee encryption in a way that prevents *the company itself* from accessing the user's raw neural data.[152]
- **The Proposed Solution: "Neurorights":** In response, a new legal discourse is emerging around the need to establish "neurorights," or new human rights specifically designed to protect the brain.[153] The two most critical proposed rights are:
    1. **Mental Privacy:** The right to protect one's "thought data" from unauthorized access, storage, analysis, or sale.[150]
    2. **Cognitive Liberty:** The right to control one's own neural processes and to be free

from unwanted neural intrusion or manipulation.[150]

These are not yet recognized legal principles, leaving the human mind a dangerously unprotected frontier.[143]

XII. Concluding Analysis: The Trajectory of the Biodigital Age

The strategic landscape of Brain-Computer Interfaces is defined by a high-stakes race between converging state and corporate powers. The era of biodigital convergence [1] is being realized by a "pincer movement" aimed at achieving high-bandwidth human-machine symbiosis.

The State Pincer: DARPA, through the N3 program [79], is systematically solving the *non-invasive* and *minutely-invasive* BCI problem. It is funding a diversified portfolio to create a wearable, soldier-grade augmentation system [80], betting on injectable nanotech (Battelle's BrainSTORMS) [78], gene-therapy (Rice's MOANA) [88], and advanced physics (JHU's optical and CMU's acousto-optical devices).[80]

The "Private" Pincer: The Musk Constellation is simultaneously solving the *highly-invasive* BCI problem. Neuralink is building the permanent, high-bandwidth "sewing machine" implant [101] with the explicit goal of AI symbiosis.[102]

The hypothesis of a "DARPA-NATO controlled" Musk empire is best understood as **systemic strategic alignment**. The U.S. government has cultivated a profound dependency on Musk's constellation for critical national infrastructure (SpaceX/Starlink) [106] and the core enabling software (xAI).[114] The centralized command structure of this empire, unified by a single "fixer" [119] holding executive power over the BCI, AI, and infrastructure companies, ensures these synergistic components function as a single, coordinated strategic entity.

The primary driver for this technology, from both the state and the key corporate actors, is not medicine. It is *augmentation* and *control*—either for military advantage at machine-speed (DARPA) or for "symbiosis" with a superior artificial intelligence (Musk). The consolidation of the open market (the Snap/NextMind acquisition [139]) ensures this technology will be delivered through a few dominant, closed ecosystems.

The profound legal ("Neurorights") [150] and security ("Biocybersecurity") [146] challenges are

being almost entirely ignored in this high-stakes technological race. This is creating a governance vacuum that is, in itself, a primary and unresolved national security threat.

Works cited

1. accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7797699/#:~:text=Digital%20technologies%20and%20biological%20systems,call%20this%20the%20biodigital%20convergence.%20(
2. Exploring Biodigital Convergence: What happens when biology and digital technology merge - Policy Horizons Canada, accessed November 2, 2025, https://horizons.service.canada.ca/en/2020/02/11/exploring-biodigital-convergence/index.shtml
3. Biodigital Convergence - Foresight Factory, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.foresightfactory.co/biodigital-convergence/
4. Biodigital Philosophy, Technological Convergence, and Postdigital Knowledge Ecologies - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7797699/
5. (PDF) Biodigital Philosophy, Technological Convergence, and Postdigital Knowledge Ecologies - ResearchGate, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348392249_Biodigital_Philosophy_Technological_Convergence_and_Postdigital_Knowledge_Ecologies
6. Exploring Biodigital Convergence: What happens when biology and digital technology merge - Policy Horizons Canada, accessed November 2, 2025, https://horizons.service.canada.ca/en/2020/02/11/exploring-biodigital-convergence
7. Bio-digital convergence standardization opportunities, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.iec.ch/system/files/2024-06/iec_tec_bio-digital_convergence_en_v2_lr.pdf
8. Posthumanism - Wikipedia, accessed November 2, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Posthumanism
9. What is Posthumanism? | Definitions, Examples & Analysis - Perlego, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.perlego.com/knowledge/study-guides/what-is-posthumanism
10. Post-humanism - (Ethics) - Vocab, Definition, Explanations | Fiveable, accessed November 2, 2025, https://fiveable.me/key-terms/ethics/post-humanism
11. Posthumanism - Literary and Critical Theory - Oxford Bibliographies, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/abstract/document/obo-9780190221911/obo-9780190221911-0122.xml
12. accessed November 2, 2025, https://fiveable.me/key-terms/ethics/post-humanism#:~:text=In%20post%2Dhum

anism%2C%20the%20boundaries.enhancing%20or%20altering%20human%20capabilities.
13. Ethics Explainer: Post-Humanism - The Ethics Centre, accessed November 2, 2025, https://ethics.org.au/ethics-explainer-post-humanism/
14. Cyborg Humanity and the Technologies of Human Enhancement - Mark Coeckelbergh, accessed November 2, 2025, https://coeckelbergh.net/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/2017_05_cyborg_humanity_and_the_techno.pdf
15. Human Enhancement and Our Cyborg Future Pilot (Survey) Study - Longdom Publishing, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.longdom.org/open-access/human-enhancement-and-our-cyborg-future-pilot-survey-study-98310.html
16. Ethical considerations for the use of brain–computer interfaces for cognitive enhancement - PMC - NIH, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11542783/
17. On the (Non-)Rationality of Human Enhancement and Transhumanism - PubMed Central, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9626409/
18. Brain–computer interface - Wikipedia, accessed November 2, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brain%E2%80%93computer_interface
19. Electroencephalography - Wikipedia, accessed November 2, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electroencephalography
20. About Bitbrain, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.bitbrain.com/about-bitbrain
21. NextMind - CES, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.ces.tech/articles/nextmind/
22. Magnetoencephalography - Wikipedia, accessed November 2, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magnetoencephalography
23. An MEG-based Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2017111/
24. From bench to bedside: Overview of magnetoencephalography in basic principle, signal processing, source localization and clinical applications - PubMed Central, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11059345/
25. An Impending Paradigm Shift in Motor Imagery Based Brain-Computer Interfaces - Frontiers, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2021.824759/full
26. A Real-Time Magnetoencephalography Brain-Computer Interface Using Interactive 3D Visualization and the Hadoop Ecosystem - MDPI, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3425/5/4/419
27. Magnetoencephalogram-based brain-computer interface for hand-gesture decoding using deep learning - PubMed, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37183188/

28. A user-friendly visual brain-computer interface based on high-frequency steady-state visual evoked fields recorded by OPM-MEG - PubMed, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38812288/
29. Comparing MEG and EEG measurement set-ups for a brain–computer interface based on selective auditory attention | bioRxiv, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.05.08.593098v1
30. Magnetic resonance imaging - Wikipedia, accessed November 2, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magnetic_resonance_imaging
31. Real-time fMRI-based brain computer interfacing for neurofeedback therapy and compensation of lost motor functions - Open Access Journals, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.openaccessjournals.com/articles/realtime-fmribased-brain-computer-interfacing-for-neurofeedback-therapy-and-compensation-of-lost-motor-functions-11013.html
32. fMRI Brain-Computer Interface: A Tool for Neuroscientific Research and Treatment - PMC, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2233807/
33. A New Generation of Brain-Computer Interfaces Driven by Discovery of Latent EEG-fMRI Linkages Using Tensor Decomposition - Frontiers, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2017.00246/full
34. Real-time fMRI for brain-computer interfacing - PubMed, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32164860/
35. A real-time fMRI neurofeedback system for the clinical alleviation of depression with a subject-independent classification of brain states: A proof of principle study - Frontiers, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/human-neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2022.933559/full
36. Real-time fMRI neurofeedback: Progress and challenges - PMC, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4878436/
37. fMRI Brain Decoding and Its Applications in Brain–Computer Interface: A Survey - MDPI, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3425/12/2/228
38. A Novel Brain–Computer Interface Virtual Environment for Neurofeedback During Functional MRI - Frontiers, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2020.593854/full
39. Using fMRI to localize target regions for implanted brain-computer interfaces in locked-in syndrome - PubMed, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37657190/
40. The combination of brain-computer interfaces and artificial intelligence: applications and challenges - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7327323/

41. accessed November 2, 2025, https://digital-library.theiet.org/doi/book/10.1049/pbce114e#:~:text=Deep%2Dlearning%20techniques%20are%20able,%2Dcomputer%20interfaces%20(BCIs).
42. Status of deep learning for EEG-based brain–computer interface applications - PMC, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9885375/
43. Benefits of deep learning classification of continuous noninvasive brain–computer interface control - PMC - NIH, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9305984/
44. Deep learning approaches in BCI | Brain-Computer Interfaces Class Notes - Fiveable, accessed November 2, 2025, https://fiveable.me/brain-computer-interfaces/unit-8/deep-learning-approaches-bci/study-guide/9styv8mWxMdmhNAW
45. fMRI Brain Decoding and Its Applications in Brain–Computer Interface: A Survey - PMC, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8869956/
46. New AI Algorithm Enables Advanced Real-Time Decoding for Neurotechnologies, accessed November 2, 2025, https://viterbischool.usc.edu/news/2023/12/new-ai-algorithm-enables-advanced-real-time-decoding-for-neurotechnologies/
47. Advancing EEG-based Brain-computer Interfaces with Real-time Deep Learning-based Decoding - Carnegie Mellon University, accessed November 2, 2025, https://kilthub.cmu.edu/articles/thesis/Advancing_EEG-based_Brain-computer_Interfaces_with_Real-time_Deep_Learning-based_Decoding/29089874
48. Smartdust - Wikipedia, accessed November 2, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Smartdust
49. Neural dust - Wikipedia, accessed November 2, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neural_dust
50. Neural Dust: An Ultrasonic, Low Power Solution for Chronic Brain-Machine Interfaces - arXiv, accessed November 2, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.2196
51. Neural Dust: The Future of Wireless Brain-Machine Interfaces - zk-Call & Digital Co., accessed November 2, 2025, https://blog.zkcall.net/neural-dust-the-future-of-wireless-brain-machine-interfaces-a8ca46fd01c1
52. How Smart Dust Could Spy On Your Brain - Medical Design and Outsourcing, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.medicaldesignandoutsourcing.com/how-smart-dust-could-spy-on-your-brain/
53. Sprinkling of neural dust opens door to electroceuticals - Berkeley News, accessed November 2, 2025, https://news.berkeley.edu/2016/08/03/sprinkling-of-neural-dust-opens-door-to-electroceuticals/

54. Implantable “Neural Dust” Enables Precise Wireless Recording of Nerve Activity - DARPA, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.darpa.mil/news/2016/implantable-neural-dust
55. Neural Dust: Ultrasonic Biological Interface - UC Berkeley EECS, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www2.eecs.berkeley.edu/Pubs/TechRpts/2018/EECS-2018-146.pdf
56. Recent advances in neural dust: towards a neural interface platform - PubMed - NIH, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29331738/
57. Neural dust - Berkeley Engineering, accessed November 2, 2025, https://engineering.berkeley.edu/news/2016/11/neural-dust/
58. 'Neural dust' could treat the body from inside | University of California, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/news/neural-dust-could-treat-body-inside
59. Terahertz radiation - Wikipedia, accessed November 2, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Terahertz_radiation
60. Terahertz Radiation Modulates Neuronal Morphology and Dynamics Properties - MDPI, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3425/14/3/279
61. The laws and effects of terahertz wave interactions with neurons - PMC - NIH, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10170412/
62. The laws and effects of terahertz wave interactions with neurons - PubMed, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37180041/
63. Terahertz Radiation Modulates Neuronal Morphology and Dynamics Properties - PMC - NIH, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10968323/
64. High Frequency Electromagnetic Radiation Stimulates Neuronal Growth and Hippocampal Synaptic Transmission - PMC - NIH, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10136800/
65. High-frequency terahertz stimulation alleviates neuropathic pain by inhibiting the pyramidal neuron activity in the anterior cingulate cortex of mice | eLife, accessed November 2, 2025, https://elifesciences.org/articles/97444
66. The laws and effects of terahertz wave interactions with neurons - Frontiers, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/bioengineering-and-biotechnology/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2023.1147684/full
67. A new horizon for neuroscience: terahertz biotechnology in brain research - PMC, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11317941/
68. Advances of terahertz technology in neuroscience: Current status and a future perspective, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8683584/
69. Potential clinical applications of terahertz radiation | Journal of Applied Physics, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pubs.aip.org/aip/jap/article/125/19/190901/155981/Potential-clinical-applications-of-terahertz

70. 9 Leading Brain-Computer Interface Companies and their Current ..., accessed November 2, 2025, https://rossdawson.com/futurist/companies-creating-future/leading-brain-computer-interface-companies-bci/
71. DARPA - Wikipedia, accessed November 2, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DARPA
72. DARPA-funded efforts in the development of novel brain-computer interface technologies, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25107852/
73. Neuroscience, Ethics, and National Security: The State of the Art - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3308927/
74. The Limits of Dual Use - Issues in Science and Technology, accessed November 2, 2025, https://issues.org/the-limits-of-dual-use/
75. Battelle Neuro Team Advances to Phase II of DARPA N3 Program, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.battelle.org/insights/newsroom/press-release-details/battelle-neuro-team-advances-to-phase-ii-of-darpa-n3-program
76. N3: Next-Generation Nonsurgical Neurotechnology - DARPA, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.darpa.mil/research/programs/next-generation-nonsurgical-neurotechnology
77. Six Paths to the Nonsurgical Future of Brain-Machine Interfaces - DARPA, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.darpa.mil/news/2019/nonsurgical-brain-machine-interfaces
78. CHIPS Articles: Mind Control: The Future of Brain-Machine Interfaces - DON CIO, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.doncio.navy.mil/Chips/ArticleDetails.aspx?ID=12473
79. Battelle team wins DARPA contract for injectable brain control technology, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.army-technology.com/news/darpa-injectable-brain-control-technology/
80. Battelle-Led Team Wins DARPA Award to Develop Injectable, Bi-Directional Brain Computer Interface, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.battelle.org/insights/newsroom/press-release-details/battelle-led-team-wins-darpa-award-to-develop-injectable-bi-directional-brain-computer-interface
81. Magnetism Plays Key Roles in DARPA Research to Develop Brain-Machine Interface without Surgery - Battelle, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.battelle.org/insights/newsroom/news-details/2021/08/31/magnetism-plays-key-roles-in-darpa-research-to-develop-brain-machine-interface-without-surgery
82. DARPA selects six teams for N3 project - Intelligence Community News, accessed

November 2, 2025, https://intelligencecommunitynews.com/darpa-selects-six-teams-for-n3-project/
83. Battelle-Led Team to Mature Brain-Computer Interface for DARPA's N3 Neurotech Research Initiative, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.battelle.org/insights/newsroom/news-details/2021/09/07/battelle-led-team-to-mature-brain-computer-interface-for-darpa-s-n3-neurotech-research-initiative
84. Battelle to Develop Injectable, Bi-Directional Brain Computer ..., accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.medicaldesignbriefs.com/component/content/article/34496-battelle-to-develop-injectable-bi-directional-brain-computer-interface
85. Feds fund creation of headset for high-speed brain link | Rice News, accessed November 2, 2025, https://news.rice.edu/news/2019/feds-fund-creation-headset-high-speed-brain-link
86. DARPA's New Project Is Investing Millions in Brain-Machine Interface Tech - Singularity Hub, accessed November 2, 2025, https://singularityhub.com/2019/06/05/darpas-new-project-is-investing-millions-in-brain-machine-interface-tech/
87. Brain-to-brain communication demo receives DARPA funding - Rice News - Rice University, accessed November 2, 2025, https://news.rice.edu/news/2021/brain-brain-communication-demo-receives-darpa-funding
88. Operating at the Speed of Thought | Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.jhuapl.edu/news/news-releases/190624-operating-speed-thought
89. A New Path to Noninvasive Brain-Computer Interface, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.jhuapl.edu/news/news-releases/241114-noninvasive-brain-computer-interface
90. Wearable system to sense and stimulate the brain - College of Engineering at Carnegie Mellon University, accessed November 2, 2025, https://engineering.cmu.edu/news-events/news/2019/05/20-darpa.html
91. Team Receives $19 Million from DARPA To Create Noninvasive Brain Interface - News, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.cmu.edu/news/stories/archives/2019/may/darpa-brain-interface.html
92. DARPA's N3: The Future of Non-Surgical Brain Interfaces - Institute for Biodefense Research, accessed November 2, 2025, https://biodefenseresearch.org/darpas-n3-the-future-of-non-surgical-brain-interfaces/
93. DARPA Awards PARC Contract to Accelerate Learning of Complex Skillsets Through Artificial Intelligence and Augmented Reality | Xerox Newsroom, accessed November 2, 2025,

https://www.news.xerox.com/news/darpa-awards-parc-contract-to-accelerate-learning-of-complex-skillsets-through-artificial-intelligence-and-augmented-reality
94. Elon Musk - Wikipedia, accessed November 2, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elon_Musk
95. Elon Musk's business empire is built on $38 billion in government funding - Washington Post - Congress.gov, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.congress.gov/119/meeting/house/117956/documents/HMKP-119-JU00-20250226-SD003.pdf
96. Inside Elon Musk's Government Contracts | Built In, accessed November 2, 2025, https://builtin.com/articles/elon-musk-government-contracts
97. Elon Musk's Big Business and Conflicts of Interest With the U.S. Government - Congress.gov, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.congress.gov/119/meeting/house/117856/documents/HHRG-119-GO00-20250205-SD015.pdf
98. Neuralink - Wikipedia, accessed November 2, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neuralink
99. Are brain implants the future of thinking? | Neuroscience - The Guardian, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.theguardian.com/science/2019/sep/22/brain-computer-interface-implants-neuralink-braingate-elon-musk
100. Neuralink Business Breakdown & Founding Story - Contrary Research, accessed November 2, 2025, https://research.contrary.com/company/neuralink
101. Neuralink raises $650 million Series E | Updates, accessed November 2, 2025, https://neuralink.com/updates/neuralink-raises-650m-series-e/
102. Paralyzed Veteran Surgically Implanted with Neuralink Device at The Miami Project to Cure Paralysis - InventUM, accessed November 2, 2025, https://news.med.miami.edu/paralyzed-veteran-surgically-implanted-with-neuralink-device-at-the-miami-project-to-cure-paralysis/
103. Reps. Lynch and Connolly Lead Oversight Investigation into Elon Musk's Conflicts of Interest at DoD, Citing Whopping $9.5 Billion in Defense Contracts | Press Releases, accessed November 2, 2025, https://lynch.house.gov/2025/4/reps-lynch-and-connolly-lead-oversight-investigation-into-elon-musk-s-conflicts-of-interest-at-dod-citing-whopping-9-5-billion-in-defense-contracts
104. Elon Musk's SpaceX may receive $2 billion from government for Donald Trump's Golden Dome project - The Times of India, accessed November 2, 2025, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/technology/tech-news/elon-musks-spacex-may-receive-2-billion-from-government-for-donald-trumps-golden-dome-project/articleshow/125018946.cms
105. At Pentagon, Elon Musk Is Preparing to Access Department Systems - Military.com, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.military.com/daily-news/2025/02/19/pentagon-elon-musk-preparing-

access-department-systems.html
106. A Defining Year for Space: Why the U.S. and Europe Must Unite or Fall Behind | RAND, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.rand.org/pubs/commentary/2025/04/a-defining-year-for-space-why-the-us-and-europe-must.html
107. SpaceX Involvement in Military Operations and EU Space Policy - Finabel, accessed November 2, 2025, https://finabel.org/spacex-involvement-in-military-operations-and-eu-space-policy/
108. SpaceX launches 2 US military payloads for Arctic connectivity - DefenseScoop, accessed November 2, 2025, https://defensescoop.com/2024/08/12/space-force-eps-arctic-satellite-broadband-mission-asbm-launch/
109. NATO's Approach to Space - NATO's ACT, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.act.nato.int/our-work/network-community/natos-approach-to-space/
110. Hegseth asked to defend Pentagon's 'uniquely troubling' deal with Musk-owned xAI, accessed November 2, 2025, https://defensescoop.com/2025/09/11/sen-warren-letter-hegseth-musk-xai-dod-contract/
111. Pentagon taps four commercial tech firms to expand military use of AI - Defense News, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.defensenews.com/pentagon/2025/07/15/pentagon-taps-four-commercial-tech-firms-to-expand-military-use-of-ai/
112. Pentagon awards mega contracts to Musk-owned company, other firms for new 'frontier AI' projects | DefenseScoop, accessed November 2, 2025, https://defensescoop.com/2025/07/14/pentagon-ai-contracts-musk-xai-google-openai-anthropic-cdao/
113. Elon Musk's xAI Gets $200M Contract with Pentagon | Democracy Now!, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.democracynow.org/2025/7/15/headlines/elon_musks_xai_gets_200m_contract_with_pentagon
114. xAI announces $200m US military deal after Grok chatbot had Nazi meltdown, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2025/jul/14/us-military-xai-deal-elon-musk
115. Warren Questions Pentagon Awarding $200 Million Contract to Integrate Elon Musk's “Grok” Into Military Systems Following the Chatbot's Antisemitic Posts, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.warren.senate.gov/newsroom/press-releases/warren-questions-pentagon-awarding-200-million-contract-to-integrate-elon-musks-grok-into-military-systems-following-the-chatbots-antisemitic-posts
116. Jared Birchall - Wikipedia, accessed November 2, 2025,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jared_Birchall
117. Meet Elon Musk's 'fixer' Jared Birchall: the SpaceX founder and Tesla CEO's right-hand man was behind his interest in buying Twitter, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.scmp.com/magazines/style/celebrity/article/3187716/meet-elon-musks-fixer-jared-birchall-spacex-founder-and
118. Jared Birchall: The Man Behind Elon Musk's Many, Many Ventures (And Potential Conflicts Of Interests) | the deep dive, accessed November 2, 2025, https://thedeepdive.ca/jared-birchall-the-man-behind-elon-musks-many-many-ventures-and-potential-conflicts-of-interests/
119. Who Is Elon Musk's Right-Hand Man Jared Birchall? | All You Need To Know - YouTube, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TN12C7pfcwQ
120. DARPA backs 6 brain-computer interface projects - New Atlas, accessed November 2, 2025, https://newatlas.com/darpa-brain-computer-interface-investment/50445/
121. Neurorehabilitation Robot for Patients with Finger Paralysis A Collaboration with MELTIN MMI - FrontAct Co., Ltd., accessed November 2, 2025, https://frontact-gl.com/collaboration/meltin.html
122. meltin.pdf, accessed November 2, 2025, https://aerospacebiz.jaxa.jp/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/meltin.pdf
123. MELTIN MMI, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.meltin.jp/
124. MELTIN Announces the MELTANT-β Avatar Robot, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.meltin.jp/en/news/437/
125. TECHNOLOGY - MELTIN MMI, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.meltin.jp/en/technology/
126. Unleashing Human Creativity with Cyborg Technology, MELTIN MMI | Masahiro Kasuya(Mark) - NeurotechJP, accessed November 2, 2025, https://neurotechjp.com/blog/kasuya-meltin/
127. Meltin MMI - SPEEDA Edge, accessed November 2, 2025, https://sp-edge.com/companies/618834/logo
128. MELTz Hand Rehabilitation System - MELTIN MMI, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.meltin.jp/en/products/medical/meltz
129. Sumitomo Pharma and MELTIN Enter into Marketing Alliance for MELTz Hand Rehabilitation System and Launch It, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.sumitomo-pharma.com/news/20220930.html
130. NextMind Review: select objects using your brain powers - The Ghost Howls, accessed November 2, 2025, https://skarredghost.com/2021/03/04/nextmind-review-bci-vr/
131. NextMind brings Brain-Computer Interface wearable to IAA Mobility - Business Wire, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20210909005669/en/NextMind-brings-Brain-Computer-Interface-wearable-to-IAA-Mobility

132. accessed December 31, 1969, https://techcrunch.com/2022/03/23/snap-buys-mind-controlled-headband-maker-nextmind/
133. Welcome NextMind! - Snap Newsroom, accessed November 2, 2025, https://newsroom.snap.com/welcome-nextmind
134. Snap acquires brain-computer interface start-up NextMind - Silicon Republic, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.siliconrepublic.com/start-ups/snap-nextmind-acquisition-ar-brain-computer-interface
135. Snap Acquires NextMind | Mergr M&A Deal Summary, accessed November 2, 2025, https://mergr.com/snap-acquires-nextmind-sas
136. accessed November 2, 2025, https://9to5mac.com/2022/03/23/snapchat-neurotech-startup-apple-headset/#:~:text=With%20this%20in%20mind%2C%20the,behind%20Spectacles%20and%20other%20projects.
137. Snap's Latest Acquisition Is a Bet on a Metaverse Controlled By Thoughts - Observer, accessed November 2, 2025, https://observer.com/2022/03/snap-acquire-nextmind-brain-computer-interface-metaverse/
138. Has GrAI Matter Labs Been Snapped Up By Snap, Inc? - EE Times, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.eetimes.com/has-grai-matter-labs-been-snapped-up-by-snap-inc/
139. Brain-Computer Interfaces and Bioethical Implications on Society: Friend or Foe?, accessed November 2, 2025, https://scholarship.stu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1076&context=stlr
140. Ethical Aspects of BCI Technology: What Is the State of the Art? - MDPI, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2409-9287/5/4/31
141. Understanding the Ethical Issues of Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs): A Blessing or the Beginning of a Dystopian Future? - NIH, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11091939/
142. Ethical considerations for the use of brain–computer interfaces for cognitive enhancement | PLOS Biology - Research journals, accessed November 2, 2025, https://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.3002899
143. Cybersecurity for Brain-Computer Interfaces: Protecting Neural Data - Akitra, accessed November 2, 2025, https://akitra.com/cybersecurity-for-brain-computer-interfaces/
144. accessed November 2, 2025, https://blackcell.io/threats-of-thoughts-the-cybersecurity-vulnerabilities-of-brain-computer-interfaces/#:~:text=Attackers%20can%20intercept%20and%20decrypt,e.g.%2C%20incorrect%20drug%20delivery).
145. Threats of Thoughts: The Cybersecurity Vulnerabilities of Brain-Computer Interfaces, accessed November 2, 2025, https://blackcell.io/threats-of-thoughts-the-cybersecurity-vulnerabilities-of-brain

-computer-interfaces/
146. Cybersecurity Issues in Brain-Computer Interfaces: Analysis of Existing Bluetooth Vulnerabilities - ResearchGate, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382165496_Cybersecurity_Issues_in_Brain-Computer_Interfaces_Analysis_of_Existing_Bluetooth_Vulnerabilities
147. Neuralink, BCIs and the Law: Protecting Mental Privacy, Autonomy and Human Rights | Vincent James Hooper | The Times of Israel - The Blogs, accessed November 2, 2025, https://blogs.timesofisrael.com/neuralink-bcis-and-the-lawprotecting-mental-privacy-autonomy-and-human-rights/
148. The Rise of Neurotech and the Risks for Our Brain Data: Privacy and Security Challenges, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.newamerica.org/future-security/reports/the-rise-of-neurotech-and-the-risks-for-our-brain-data/privacy-and-security-challenges/
149. Regulating neural data processing in the age of BCIs: Ethical concerns and legal approaches - PubMed Central, accessed November 2, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11951885/
150. Regulating the Future: Navigating Ethical and Legal Pathways in Brain-Computer Interface Technology - Sidley Austin LLP, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.sidley.com/en/insights/publications/2024/04/regulating-the-future-navigating-ethical-and-legal-pathways-in-brain-computer-interface-technology
151. Navigating the legal and ethical landscape of brain-computer interfaces: Insights from Colorado and Minnesota | IAPP, accessed November 2, 2025, https://iapp.org/news/a/navigating-the-legal-and-ethical-landscape-of-brain-computer-interfaces-insights-from-colorado-and-minnesota
152. The protection of mental privacy in the area of neuroscience - European Parliament, accessed November 2, 2025, https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2024/757807/EPRS_STU(2024)757807_EN.pdf