

Feminism

Introduction

Feminism has dramatically transformed western civilization and has directly contributed to the breakdown of the family unit and falling birthrates. It has been applied to nations incrementally, with each new generation experiencing a greater departure from Traditional European values and culture. The escalation stages include the introduction of laws that are prohibitive to traditional marriage, universal suffrage, women entering the work place en masse and the so-called "sexual revolution".

Marriage

There has never been a functioning civilization where the concept of marriage did not exist. Civilization, a word derived from the root 'civitas' meaning city, denotes a high stage of social development and organization. The relation between civitas and civilization is not accidental. Advanced organization was only possible and due to the construction of the first cities. Before the agricultural revolution, humans were indistinguishable from animals. They lived in herds, in a state of nature, guided solely by their animalistic instincts. There was no institution of marriage in those hunter gatherer associations, because there was no need for such an institution. Marriage was a cultural technology that was introduced to bring reproductive discipline. Before marriage, the fathers of children were not determined, and the entire tribe was responsible for taking care of the women and children. After building the first cities and the introduction of marriage, the married couple would invest more energy into parenting. This also meant that if a man wanted to leave a genetic legacy after him, he had to channel his energy towards production, benefiting the entire society, thus the patriarch was born. Patriarchy and Civilization is symbiotic. Without patriarchy there is no production, no security, no organization, no society as we know it but anarchy. Patriarchy integrates every man into the sexual market which works as an incentive for organization and production. If female hypergamy is left unchecked, civilization collapses. When the majority of men do not procreate there is no cooperation but rather violent and destructive competition.

Feminism leads to the destruction of monogamy and the degradation of sexual morality. It grants them access to higher quality men even if only for a night and inflates their mating value. It removes all of their obligations – that of a mother, of a wife, of a dignified lady – and replaces them with unlimited freedom from responsibility and accountability

Age of Consent

In traditional European societies, the age of consent for a sexual union was a matter for the family to decide, or a tribal custom. In most cases, this coincided with signs of puberty, menstruation for a woman, and pubic hair for a man. In Roman Britain, the age of marriage was twelve years old for a girl, and adultery was strictly forbidden and punished. In the 12th century, Gratian, the influential founder of canon law in medieval Europe, accepted the age of puberty for marriage (not sex) to be around twelve for girls and around fourteen for boys but acknowledged consent to be meaningful if both children were older than seven years of age. There were authorities that said that such consent for entering marriage could take place earlier. Marriage would then be valid as long as neither of the two parties annulled the marital agreement before reaching puberty, or if they had already

consummated the marriage. Judges sometimes honored marriages based on mutual consent at ages younger than seven: in contrast to established canon, there are recorded marriages of two- and three-year-olds.

The first recorded age-of-consent law dates from 1275 in England; as part of its provisions on rape, the [Statute of Westminster 1275](#) made it a misdemeanor to "ravish" a "maiden within age," whether with or without her consent. This law was in place for 600 years and during this period, Britain and Ireland had a high birthrate which expressed vitality. In 1500, England had a population of around 3 million. These people started young and had many children for hundreds of years. It was the most successful demographic expansion of any ethnic group in human history, with more than 150 million Anglos by the turn of the millennium.

The phrase "within age" was later interpreted by jurist Sir Edward Coke (England, 17th century) as meaning the age of marriage, which at the time was twelve years of age. The American colonies followed the English tradition, and the law was more of a guide. For example, Mary Hathaway (Virginia, 1689) was only nine when she was married to William Williams. Sir Edward Coke "made it clear that the marriage of girls under 12 was normal, and the age at which a girl who was a wife was eligible for a dowry from her husband's estate was 9 even though her husband be only four years old."

In the 16th century, a small number of Italian and German states set the minimum age for sexual intercourse for girls, setting it at twelve years. Towards the end of the 18th century, other European countries also began to enact similar laws. The first French Constitution of 1791 established the minimum age at eleven years. Portugal, Spain, Denmark and the Swiss cantons initially set the minimum age at ten to twelve years. Age of consent laws were, historically, difficult to follow and enforce: legal norms based on age were not, in general, common until the 19th century, because clear proof of exact age and precise date of birth were often unavailable. In 1880, 37 US states had an age of consent of 10 years, 10 states had an age of consent at 12 years, and Delaware had an age of consent of 7 years.

While ages from ten to thirteen years were typically regarded as acceptable ages for sexual consent and marriage in all European nations and cultures from Antiquity to the mid-19th century, by the end of the 19th century, feminist organizations successfully campaigned for raising the age of consent. In 1875, the age of consent was raised to 13 in Great Britain and Ireland, ten years later, in 1885, [the age of consent was raised to 16](#). Early feminists of the Social Purity movement, such as Josephine Butler and others, instrumental in securing the repeal of the Contagious Diseases Acts and were responsible for raising the age of consent. In France, under the Napoleonic Code, the age of consent was set in 1832 at eleven, and was raised to thirteen in 1863. It was increased to fifteen in 1945. In Spain, it was set in 1822 at "puberty age", and changed to twelve in 1870, which was kept until 1999, when it became 13, and in 2015 it was raised to 16. Inspired by the "Maiden Tribute" articles, Christian feminists in the U.S. initiated their own campaign, which petitioned legislators to raise the legal minimum age to at least sixteen, with the ultimate goal to raise the age to eighteen. By 1920, 26 US states had an age of consent at 16, 21 states had an age of consent at 18, and one state (Georgia) had an age of consent at 14. Georgia was the most resistant state to raising its age of consent in the early 1900s. Georgia's age of consent was 10 years old until 1918, at which time the age of consent was raised to 14. In 1917, a bill raising the "Age of consent" in Great Britain and Ireland from 16 to 17 was defeated by only one vote.

Feminists claim that the reason age of consent exists is to protect young people from predators that might take advantage of their naivete and use trust, power, authority, coercion, threats, and physical strength to take advantage of them. In reality, the age of consent has the opposite effect. Laws against rape already existed, but the sole distinctive quality of age of consent law is to prohibit consensual sexual contact with and among persons below an arbitrary age limit. It has no other unique features. It reduced typical family sizes of five children or more to families with less children as the beginning of motherhood was delayed to later in life. The age of consent directly caused depopulation in white countries, meanwhile non-white countries reproduce rapidly.

The higher age of consent marked a change in society where more women than before were diverted away from becoming married and having families in favor of being unmarried and childless. Before these feminist laws came into place, a man would find financial security and be ready to marry. He would find a fertile young woman and start to court her. The parents would think the man is a fine suitor, and agree to the marriage. In this environment, a father could accurately assess the intentions of an older suitor for his daughter. If a 25-year-old woodsman asked for the farmer's 14-year-old daughter's hand in marriage, her father would know right away whether he was a good match. The father could then help his daughter make a smart decision rather than just tossing her to the wolves and hoping for the best. The man openly signaled to the parents of the girl that he was willing to feed their daughter until he dies and care for her children emotionally and financially. Marriage was more than a legal contract, it was binding for life. The man agreed to take love the woman for the rest of his life and vice-versa. When the girl was mature enough for sexual intercourse, pregnancy and childbirth followed. Children would be raised in a nuclear family with both parents. There was no element of exploitation involved. A girl who grew up in agrarian France in the Middle Ages knew her whole life that she would be married at 12-16 years old. The local village or town community would be aware of this marriage and support it. In the farming societies of pre-industrial Europe, children were a blessing, not a burden. The sooner a girl could start having children, the richer and happier the whole village would be. Once children were mature, they were almost free labor on the farm, and there was no social or economic penalty for girls who started early. Families had strong bonds and connection to community in these villages and towns. This was how civilization was for multiple millennia.

Pair Bonding

Women only truly have respect for men that they originally formed an emotional relationship with when they were younger. As they grow older (without a husband), their ability to form attachment with men wears off and they lose the ability to truly respect a man ever again other than on a primal level of temporary lust. This paternal respect, in which the woman follows the man as opposed to falsely viewing herself as his equal is a key element in proper romance between men and women which is why our ancestors married young women. It was simply obvious to them. They knew that leaving women to sit until they turned ages like 18 simply made them spoiled and bitter. Almost all truly successful relationships are formed when the girl is still young, and because she bonded to a man in a crucial part of her development, she is attached to her partner in a way that she could never be in a relationship formed later in life.

It natural for girls who have reached puberty to engage in sexual intercourse. It is simply a fact of biology. In civilized society, this would happen only in a married relationship, where both the man and woman are spiritually bound for life. This formed life long relationships. All great civilizations knew this. The age of consent set in law is an aberration and one of

the primary causes of the breakdown of modern relationships and declining birthrates. The age of consent prevents proper attachments from developing in youth and instead encourages a life of promiscuity.

The primary goal of a woman in life is to find one man and devote the entirety of her feminine resources to him (affection, loyalty, sex, child-rearing, housekeeping) to support his masculine endeavors throughout his life. This is the way humans meant to live, and any other relationship arrangement is inferior. Waiting until age 16 or 18 gives her no stable male romantic influence to lead her through puberty and into adulthood which allows all sorts of decadent influences to crawl into her life and leads her astray into a life of promiscuity. When two people have sex and reach orgasm, they produce huge amounts of oxytocin in a neurochemical reaction which bonds them together. When a woman has multiple sexual partners in her life. Sex becomes devalued and no longer forms that attachment. Instead of lovemaking, it is merely fulfilling carnal desire. This process in which a woman has multiple sexual partners has an irreversible effect on her ability to form emotional attachment. She is no longer capable of true love in a traditional monogamous relationship.

“As soon as a woman attains the age of puberty, she immediately becomes very much agitated by sexual desire. It is therefore the duty of the father to get his daughter married before she attains puberty. Otherwise she will be very much mortified by not having a husband. Anyone who satisfies her desire for sex at that age becomes a great object of satisfaction. It is a psychological fact that when a woman at the age of puberty meets a man and the man satisfies her sexually, she will love that man for the rest of her life, regardless who he is.” Srila Prabhupada, Srimad-Bhagavatam, Book 4, Chapter 25, verse 42

Women's suffrage

In 1903, the Women's Social and Political Union (WSPU) was founded which campaigned for Women to have the right to vote. The WSPU launched a terrorist campaign in order to further their suffragette goals. Their tactics included vandalism, window-smashing, setting fire to churches, buildings, homes, male recreational and sports facilities. On July 31, 1914 [Suffragettes bombed Lisburn Cathedral](#). A small explosion blew out one of the oldest stained glass windows. Four women arrested after the attack but no charges were pressed due to the outbreak of World War I, and they were released by order of the Home Secretary. In 1918 the [Representation of the People Act](#) was passed in the United Kingdom which allowed women over the age of 30 who met a property qualification to vote. In 1928, the [Representation of the People \(Equal Franchise\) Act 1928](#) entitled everyone in the UK over the age of 21 to vote.

Allowing women to vote has been remarkably destructive to the family unit. Women having the right to vote allows politicians in democracies to drive a wedge between men and women and pit husband and wife against one another. They can use gender identity politics to attempt to appeal to men or women exclusively, pitting half of the nation against the other half while giving them an out on addressing real issues. It gives politicians in democratic and plutocratic states the power to get between a husband and a wife emotionally and intellectually.

Before Feminism, only men had the right to vote, and almost all men got married. In practice, this meant that there was, as a trend, one vote per family, not one vote per person. When almost all voters were men casting votes for their families, politicians had no

choice but to pitch policies and laws that were conducive to family values. But when all adults have a vote, politicians no longer have to care about family values. The level of discourse can be lowered to simply being individual friendly, instead of being family friendly. This creates atomization – the core unit is reduced from a family to an individual. The individualistic idea laid the foundation for Communist ideas. A family shares blood, lives in the same space, speaks the same language, has the same culture, and shares the same experiences – it is a microcosm of the nation itself, but if you were to break the family apart into individuals, they no longer exhibit the traits of a nation, because they are alone. A nation is blood and soil, and the shared culture and experiences of the people who live in it. Women's suffrage distributes the vote to individuals over families, which creates a system that will always sacrifice the family and always destroy family values in the name of pushing individual values.

Women in Work

Giving women the right to work doubles the number of people looking for jobs while keeping the number of job positions available the same. This means, at a bare minimum, all wages are halved and it will be at least twice as hard for anyone looking for a job to actually secure one. The equivalent of this would be to import an equal number of foreigners to the total number of US citizens all at once – doubling the population. The damage that women entering the workplace did to nations has been completely catastrophic.

Women in the workplace destroys the husband's ability to support his family. Before feminism, the income of a single man working full time was enough to pay all the bills, put food on the table, own and gas up two cars, pay for the needs of 2.5 children, keep a woman who is a housewife full time comfortable and well-adorned, keep a house in working order, pay his taxes, and still have enough recreational spending money to spend on holidays and vacations, as well as having a little bit extra to put away into savings for the kids and for your own retirement. Today that is practically impossible for millions of working class whites. Because women entering the workplace pushed salaries and wages down to the very rock bottom. Double the number of people seeking jobs means the free market dictates that labor is only worth half of what it used to be.

Women have been enslaved to the workplace. Cutting the wages of all working men in half meant that women had no choice but to enter the workplace in order to make enough money to fund their family. One of the primary reasons that Feminists agitated for the right to work any job they wanted to was because they felt they did not have the freedom to decide what they wanted to do with their lives. If that was truly their complaint, then they have failed spectacularly, because they have achieved the exact opposite of that. Instead of gaining the freedom to choose, they now have no choice but to work, whether they like it or not. Women historically had more freedom and choice than the woman of today, for she had the luxury of choosing to not work if she so pleased, and could sit pretty in the comfort that her husband's salary would take care of her and the family she was a part of. Feminism ended that. In exchange for 2% of women becoming executives and leaders, 80% of women must work as waitresses and grocery baggers whether they want to or not.

Bringing women into the workplace emptied out the home. Forcing women to go to work as well as their husbands leaves an empty house with no one to look after the children. Services like daycare and nannies will never be the same as a child being looked after and taken care of by their own mother. Forcing women to work to sustain their family means that they will no longer be able to give their children the care that they need and deserve,

which damages children mentally, emotionally, and socially at the time when they are most vulnerable. This produces individuals that are emotionally and socially dysfunctional.

Women in the workplaces undermines homeschooling as a means of educating your child, and increases the dependence on state and federal programs to take care of and instruct your child. Once upon a time, a child could be sent off to school, but a woman who wanted to be more hands-on could opt to not do this, and instead teach their child reading, writing, and arithmetic at home themselves. With the home emptied out and the labor of women tied up in securing a second income to support the family, the family has little choice but to forego homeschool and rely upon public and private institutions to teach their children. This means that parents no longer control what their children are taught, and children are conditioned by the state to accept subversive political goals as their values and beliefs.

Bringing women into the workplace encourages them to not have families or children at all. Without the strength of the male wage, the family becomes prohibitively expensive. What was once the social norm becomes increasingly costly and unattainable. It makes having many children (4+) financially unfeasible for millions. The pressure to not have children becomes immense, and women enter a state of postponement.

“I’ll have a family, but later, when I’ve saved up enough money.”

“I’ll have kids, but later, right now I need to secure a future for them.”

“I want to have a family, but I can always do it later when the situation looks better, right now I can just party and enjoy myself, after all, it’s not like I could have one now anyway even if I wanted to.”

While men continuously produce healthy sperm until the day they die, women have a finite number of eggs, and the longer she goes without having children, the greater the likelihood that there will be complications or congenital birth defects. The possibility of a child being born with issues such as autism, general learning disabilities, and Downs syndrome become markedly increased the older the mother is, and the age of the mother has also been linked to problems such as birth defects and miscarriages. Beyond the age of 28, a woman's fertility begins to drop, and the possibility of health issues with her children begins to increase with each passing year. Eventually, she will her supply of eggs will run out, bringing about the onset of menopause and rendering her infertile. Not only do women have a finite number of eggs, but those eggs can and will go bad. The longer a woman puts off having a family, the less likely it is that she will ever have healthy children or a stable long-term relationship with a man. They must contend with men, and those men who wish to be husbands are compelled by their own instincts to naturally seek out as young a woman as possible to settle down with, to ensure the health of his children. So as a woman becomes older, even if she maintains her physical beauty, the odds of her landing a true, genuine husband go down.

Raising the age of consent prohibited marriage and procreation during teenage years. Bringing women into the workplace made marriage and having children financially prohibitive for women in their 20s – delaying childbirth even further. All of this, taken together, creates a cascade effect, in which the implementation of Feminist policies undermines the structure of a nation, destroys its moral fibers, and ultimately plunges the birth-over-death ratio below replacement level.

Promiscuity

Traditional European cultures recognized the importance of a girl’s virginity and insisted on a girl being a virgin before marriage. The more premarital sexual partners a woman has,

the higher her chances of divorce are. However, the “Sexual Revolution” of the 1960s meant that sex has no consequences anymore – apart from sexual diseases. More people began having sex with strangers and became more promiscuous. This devalued marriage. [The more sexual partners a person has, the more likely their marriage is to fail.](#) “One night stands” and similar behaviors are inherently exploitative and [abusive](#), which damaged young people’s trust in relationships. These feminist policies reduced the birthrate of western countries and destroyed the family unit. In this function, the nihilist-hedonist lifestyle is encouraged while traditional family values are shunned. By the 1980s, relationships with young girls had become synonymous with exploitation of young girls since marriage and sex have been devalued, and the traditional family unit of before had largely been forgotten. The media industry creates fake sexual abuse cases which are hoaxes designed to undermine society. The goal is to completely erode trust between people, especially children, so that they grow up socially stunted. This further destroys group cohesion in white communities, since they fear that their that their fellow white may be a predator and people no longer trust each other. It also encourages hatred of men. Another motivation is to discredit western society and make it look evil. Jews and Pauline Christians portrayed the Roman Empire as sexually debauched when they were in the process of trying to destroy it. They used the alleged sexual debauchery of the Empire as a propaganda weapon against it. Hoaxing child sexual abuse cases can serve all these purposes. Feminists do not want to protect children. They kill their own babies and abandon their children. It is merely a front for demonizing men.

Mainstream media, digital social media and mass pornography consumption has subverted people’s entire idea of relationships. Social media has the same effect on women that pornography use has on men: instant gratification. Millions of women and girls post photos of themselves online wearing extremely minimal clothing or nothing at all to receive attention from strangers online. The result has a significant impact on her psyche to the detriment of her success and faithfulness in a relationship. In addition to that, she degrades herself to the lowest level which is cheered on by social media. Imagine 100 years in the future basically everyone can go online and see pictures of their great grandmother twerking in what is essentially her underwear.

Films and television shows emasculate men while women are elevated to male role and have fictional abilities. This not only destroys the role of men. It subverts and corrupts traditional roles of women. Their natural biological instincts have never been to labor for their survival, to lead groups or to abandon their children for work long periods of time.

Makeup

Feminists are exhibitionists. They wear makeup. Women know it's fake, they know it's fake, they know that men know it's fake; but they still do it. Most skin problems are fixable with natural remedies, greasy food creates greasy skin. Instead of applying basic pharmacology they smear crayons on their face. Makeup hides a person’s actual genetic facial structure and qualities, thus hiding who she really is. Women who wear makeup attempt to synthetically increase their attractiveness and sexual market value. Men are more likely to give them money, which women spend on continuing use of makeup which perpetuates the cycle. Makeup companies’ profits increase, and they have more incentive to advertise and sell “devil's face masks”.

Divorce

In the 1960s, promiscuity was mass promoted in films and television shows as socially acceptable, only a decade later, [divorce rates across western countries increased massively](#). The prospect of divorce has become a deterrence against marriage. Men do not want to have their lives ruined and possessions taken away. Giving people welfare means that their families don't need to provide for them or even care for them, they fail to raise their children because they know the Government will be there to assist, wives divorce knowing that the Government will provide for them, they can become isolated which is bad for the family unit and bad for society as a whole. If people actually needed each other, the bonds of society would be much stronger and therefore the Civilization.

A healthy society is bottom-up, composed of a strong foundation of healthy patriarchal families. The authority of the father is respected, there is levels of hierarchy and authority that are respected, and the society is stable and organic. Cultural Judaism aims to destroy all authority but itself, undermining fathers, local bonds and norms, etc. In most traditional societies prior to modernity such as Ancient Greece, Ancient India, Middle East, adultery was always punished with death. This is these societies recognized that marriage is more than just two individuals coming together. It often brings whole families together and strengthens social bonds. Someone sleeping around can up end this completely and cause much strife, leading to divorce. Just as single-parent households are correlated with numerous societal ills, adultery brings about many of the same ills that fornication does, and there's empirical data to support the fact that instability in the family caused by unfaithful parents can negatively impact children and society at large.

A family is first and foremost a union to bring children into this world and raise them in a suitable environment, and the social ills brought about familial instability and infidelity warrant harsh punishment for deterrence. Death for adultery is also because of that the union is not just between a woman and a man, but also between the two, their families (fathers giving ownership of daughters to their husbands) and the community. In Ancient Rome, if a woman cheated on her husband, he could kill her (or father could kill his adulterous daughter), divorce her or let the state take care of it. Killing was rather rare but happened. Divorce was on the opposite pretty common, but meant different thing than today. When woman cheated and you decided to divorce her, all material wealth, her whole dowry and rest of her things were given to you, just like your children. She was publicly shamed, added to the public lists and officially returned to the ownership of her father. Women like that usually didn't marry again and were considered the shame of a whole family. If you decided to let the state take care of it, the state would buy your now ex-wife from you (price depended on her age, looks, etc.) and employed her – as a whore she is – in one of the many state-run brothels. Some sources claim that husband was given a portion of her earnings for some time. With that your divorce was finalized and you could marry again. On the other hand, if it was a man who cheated on his wife, the wife could ask for divorce and it was usually granted. She took her dowry, returned to her father and usually married again soon. Women also often re-married if their husband died. Later, under Augustan reforms, general law of banishment was added – you had to divorce adulterous partner and if not any of previously mentioned were used, both cheating parties were banished by the state and portion of their wealth confiscated. Similarly, both virgins and honest widows were protected by Roman law – if you "seduced" them (had sex) with them without marriage, half of your stuff was confiscated and you were banished as well. These laws were in effect for roughly 500 years.

Marriage is completely meaningless and worthless, when adultery is permitted and goes unpunished. Any woman who commits the act of adultery should be punished with death.

That is justice. Any other response to adultery is simply ineffective and encourages others to do it.

Anti-fertility pills

In 1948, Jewish chemist [Max de Winter](#) started working at Organon in the North Brabant town of Oss. He developed the contraceptive pill there together with two other chemists in the 1950s. Lyndiol, marketed by Organon in 1962, became a worldwide success. The contraceptive pill has encouraged casual sex and has permanent damaging effects on female reproductive health. Anti-conception pills makes women more attracted towards effeminate males and increase the chances of developing cervical cancer. Anti-conception pills dramatically lowers fertility and the effects continue even after usage ceases.

Abortion

Abortion has reduced the birthrates of western nations even further. There is not a single country in Europe that has fertility levels above the replacement level where abortion is legal. Abortion is a human sacrifice ritual. It involves the most defenseless victim conceivable – an unborn child – being willfully murdered by the very person most spiritually bound to love and protect them, their own mothers. These ritualized murders are carried out in a nonchalant, routine way, as a means of [facilitating hedonistic apathy, laziness, and convenience](#) – it places promiscuity above the value of a human life. Feminists have persistently campaigned for and support the murder of defenseless unborn children. Fetuses are living human beings. “Fetus” is a Latin term meaning “little one”, used to describe a stage of development, just like “infant” or “adult.” According to the law of biogenesis, a fetus conceived by human parents, and growing according to the instructions in its own human genetic code, is by definition human. Human life is a continuum, beginning with the newly conceived zygote, moving through the stages of embryo and fetus on through to adult. Although a fetus doesn’t look like an adult yet, neither does a newborn baby. A human fetus is no less human simply because it is smaller and more delicate. For that matter, neither is an embryo less human, though it looks quite strange to our eyes, even in comparison to a fetus. Still, it is our duty to recognize our common humanity at all stages of development.

Biology is clear that at conception, also known as fertilization, a unique organism comes into existence. Since this new life possesses human DNA and is the offspring of human parents, it can only legitimately be described as human life. Since there is no question that human zygotes, embryos and fetuses are alive, some have attempted to claim that human beings are not “persons” until some threshold is crossed, such as viability, the capacity to feel pain, birth, or even the first year after birth. These claims that human beings are not “persons” are not based on science but rather on ideology. As far as science and the truth is concerned, human life begins at conception.

- At 18-24 days after conception, an embryo’s heart begins to beat.
- At 8 weeks after conception, based on the development of the nervous system, a fetus is likely able to feel pain.
- At 9 weeks, a fetus is able to bend its fingers around an object in its hand, and sucks its thumb. All essential organs have begun to form.
- At 11 weeks, a fetus is breathing amniotic fluid steadily and will do so until birth.
- At 12 weeks, a fetus can kick, turn over, make a fist, open its mouth and press its lips together.
- At 13 weeks, a fetus’s vocal cords and auditory sense are present.

- At 20 weeks, a fetus can be startled by a loud external noise.
- At 23 weeks, a fetus can demonstrate rapid eye movements (REM).
- At six months, fine hair grows on the fetus's head and eyebrows, and small eyelashes begin to appear.
- At seven months, a fetus's hands can support his entire weight.
- At eight months, a fetus weighs more than four pounds.
- During the ninth month from conception, a fetus gains half a pound per week. Of the 45 total generations of cell replication that will occur by mature adulthood, 41 have already taken place.

Dysgenics

Dysgenic breeding means the perpetuation of defective genes and traits in a human population which brings it down to lower more animal level. In the course of natural selection, people with defective genes would have died out and not reproduced, but in the course of modern history, defective individuals have been allowed to reproduce and disseminate their genetic material into the population. Dysgenic breeding makes humans shorter, uglier, more overweight and less intelligent.

Ancient white people were superior to almost all modern whites in all respects, physically and intellectually. Ancient man was much less soft and effeminate. He was perfect. A beautiful savage perfectly in-tune with his instincts and nature. An Aryan from the past would seem like a god before the pathetic weaklings who call themselves men today. The Cro-Magnons living 30 thousand years ago also displayed remarkable physical characteristics. Their average height was around 180cm (6foot) and their brains were actually bigger than the brains of modern humans. The decrease in the average height can be attributed to the advent of agriculture and the sedentary lifestyle and later the rise of an urban lifestyle. While the hunter gatherers enjoyed a varied diet, the agriculturalists relied on only a few staple crops. Their average height decreased remarkably through the ages. In Ancient Greece, rowers on the triremes, which were a type of ship used by the Ancient Greeks, could achieve feats that modern rowers can't replicate. The ancient rowers had more robust bones. Almost everyone at that time had more robust bones than the men of today. This was because the people did not live the sedentary lives that people of today live. Most modern people spend a large portion of their lives sitting or doing other similar activities. Our bodies, bones and muscles do not receive the same physical stimuli as those our ancestors received and so for that reason are weaker. Intense, repeated actions alter bone properties, such as thickness, shape and density. When you habitually work a bone in a certain manner, it toughens up to counteract the strains. The pattern of bone alterations across the skeleton depends on the particular activities undertaken.

Roman philosopher Seneca wrote that: "We put down mad dogs; we kill the wild, untamed ox; we use the knife on sick sheep to stop their infecting the flock; we destroy abnormal offspring at birth; children, too, if they are born weak or deformed, we drown. Yet this is not the work of anger, but of reason – to separate the sound from the worthless"

Generation Alpha are the children born in the 2010s and 2020s, and they are one of the most neglected and developmentally dysfunctional generations in our history. I don't mean this in a sense of generational rivalry. They are literally disabled compared to former generations. Their parents give them screens – phones and tablets – instead of interacting with them during that time. Their sense of community and racial identity is neutered. Playtime has critical importance for social, cognitive, and language skills development.

Child vaccines have created physically and mentally ill individuals, with allergies and conditions which never even existed before.

Screen time among infants, toddlers, and preschoolers exploded during the 2010s. [90% of young children used a handheld electronic device by the age of one](#); in some cases, children started using them when they were only a few months old. Using smartphones and tablets to access video streaming services such as BBC iPlayer and free or reasonably low budget edutainment games became a popular form of entertainment for young children. Research showed that by 2018, the majority of [British three and four year olds owned an Internet-connected device](#). Nearsightedness is not natural. Wearing glasses is not natural. It is the product of environmental conditions. Human ancestors searched for animals to hunt hundreds of meters away on huge plains, fields and natural landscapes. If you spend lots of time indoors, and look at screens all day, you will develop Myopia. A 2015 study found that the frequency of nearsightedness has doubled in the United Kingdom within the last 50 years. Regular extended use of handheld electronic devices [directly causes eyestrain and myopia](#). A 2019 study published in the JAMA Pediatrics investigated how screen time affected the brain structure of children aged three to five (preschoolers) using MRI scans. The test subjects—27 girls and 20 boys—took cognitive tests before their brain scans while their parents answered a questionnaire on screen time developed by the American Academy of Pediatrics. The researchers found that the toddlers who spent more than an hour per day in front of a screen without parental involvement showed less development in the brain's white matter, the region responsible for cognitive and linguistic skills. Lead author Dr. John Hutton, a pediatrician and clinical researcher at Cincinnati Children's Hospital, told CNN that this finding was significant because the brain develops most rapidly during the first five years of a person's life. Previous studies revealed that excessive screen time is linked to sleep deprivation, impaired language development, behavioral problems, difficulty paying attention and thinking clearly, poor eating habits, and damaged executive functions. Children are supposed to play and get dirty, so their bodies recognize good and bad germs, but instead, their immune system is weakened and suppressed because they keep washing their hands and making everything sterile, thus they have no blueprint for fighting any real infection.

Research from 2021 showed that British children were allowed out to play without adult supervision almost two years later than their parents had been. The study of five- to eleven-year-olds suggested that the average age for a child to be first given that freedom was 10.7 years old whilst their parents recalled being let out earlier at an average of 8.9 years of age. Helen Dodd, a professor of child psychology at the University of Reading, who led the study commented "In the largest study of play in Britain, we can clearly see that there is a trend to be protective and to provide less freedom for our children now than in previous generations... The concerns we have from this report are twofold. First, we are seeing children getting towards the end of their primary school years without having had enough opportunities to develop their ability to assess and manage risk independently. Second, if children are getting less time to play outdoors in an adventurous way, this may have an impact on their mental health and overall wellbeing." This shows that children are increasingly being infantilized to their detriment. Many children reach age 5 without knowing how to talk. They are unable to learn basic literacy and can't even read. State lockdowns have further accelerated the process in which children are developmentally stunted as they are deprived of what social contact they had, and instead watch youtube playlists of pregnant spiderman giving birth to tranny Elsa's baby over and over and over again. Many never see any human faces anymore because of masks, they are afraid of seeing actual human beings because of this. The psychological effect this will have on

them as they grow is abhorrent. More children than ever before are raised by single-mother households where their mother deprives them of attention while spending all day at work or occupied by social media. Expecting these betrayed children to sustain societal infrastructure is as nonsensical and absurd as expecting a monkey to build a Gothic cathedral. These developmentally stunted children will never be able to recover the lost humanity that was snatched from them. The situation is mythological in its absurdity, no generation or race or people or tribe in history has failed their own children to the extent that this one has.

Conclusion

For the majority of human history, independently across every successful culture and civilization on the globe, there have been certain things that were universally practiced. Some of these things survive to this day, such as organizing ourselves into structured hierarchies. In the course of the last century, however, we have suddenly and rapidly shifted away from all the most important things that kept civilization. Marriage is the most important institution of human society. We need to return to how people have successfully and happily lived for literally thousands of years. Pick any metric, and we are worse off now than any point previously, and this will not change until every single part of the feminist ideology is erased.

Feminism is partly or wholly responsible for Birth control, Abortions, Getting their tubes tied, LGBT, Prostitution, Porn industry, Adultery, Useless leeching single mothers, Forcing circumcision on male children, Dating apps, Alimony and divorce culture and law, Women taking men's jobs, Driving down wages by working and competing with men, Wasting their most fertile years working, Deciding to live independently, Self-hating women not having children simply because they would be white, The spread of sexual diseases, Other female medication damaging and polluting the environment as well as public water, The rise of false rape accusations, Women choosing to become obese, Welfare state, Infidelity destroying families and children's minds and futures, Getting away with hitting or abusing men because white knights will always protect her, Leeching off beta orbiters, Cuckolding, Gold diggers, Marital rape cases, Gender studies, #metoo, #mybodymychoice, #slutpride, Settling down at 30+, Hedonism, Fat acceptance, Raising the age of consent because they can't compete with younger females, Plastic surgery, Makeup, Smoking drinking eating unhealthy during pregnancy among other things.

Population Collapse

The population collapse is already underway. An extinction level event is happening right now. The combination of every attack against our people has a compounding effect. The death ratio has already far surpassed the number of births needed for replacement figures, and if this continues we are entering an extinction event. In almost all white countries, the majority of women have been sterilized by mRNA injection. They cannot reproduce. They cannot have children. Feminism must be eradicated. **You cannot allow a large portion of your tribe to fail at reproduction no matter the situation.** If they are not meeting the required 2.1+ birthrate and if they are not raising healthy and strong offspring then your tribe will die off. There is no "lesson" or "example" if your tribe becomes extinct because of feminism. Either take control of your tribe's women or perish with them.

Solution

Since 2020 we have seen our adversaries use Accelerationist doctrine against us. They put the fear in the cattle by creating an invisible, faceless enemy to sow fear, guilt and division. Communities, networking and human interaction has been stifled and replaced by screens. White people have been made destitute by the ZOG. Not only that, but the bulk of our populations have injected with gene therapy technology. The effects have been worse for our people than two world wars: sterilization and death. The population of the UK in 2025 is projected to be 15 million. America and other white countries too, face certain population reduction as a result of this democide campaign through the so-called vaccines. This – combined with birthrates that were already below the replacement rate AND the invasion of our lands by racial strangers is an extinction level event. We have to find wisdom from ancestors and translate it eliminate evil beyond their comprehension. To reverse the catastrophic effects of feminism and the situation our people are facing as whole, it will certainly require violence, but also require a reevaluation of our entire moral system – the amputation of all Talmudic machinations which harm our people and the ascension of a racial survival spirit carried out with only fanatical devotion.

To reverse the catastrophic effects of feminism, it will require violence. It will require barring women from owning property or inheriting things whether land or titles from their fathers. It will require removing puritanical feminist notions of what is a "proper" age to marry. Men must be back in control and this will require taking off any prohibitions about violence against women that our ones own, up to and including death. It is not Antithetical to our souls to take what we want and do with it what we will, like all our ancestors, the Norse, Celts, Saxons and Germans, and more all did. Women must be put under the authority of their father until they are married, their husband once they are married and their sons if they outlive their husbands, the best way to facilitate this to get them married as early as possible, for the greatest level of stability for any potential offspring, and for the happiness and health of the marriage.

Is it good for our tribe to drink water? If yes, then we do it.

Is it good for our tribe to control their women? If yes, then we do it.

We need a radical course of action for the life order of our people.

1. Take Back Control

The current state of the sexual economy cannot last forever, since the natural endpoint is population collapse. Feminists operate under the pretense that men allow them to do what they are doing without punishment or consequences. Women, being the physically weaker sex, could not stand a chance against men if men organized to put an end to their exploitation. If every man in the world woke up one day, and decided to strip women of their rights, women would have absolutely zero say or chance in maintaining them. It is possible that such an event has already happened in human prehistory, leading to a millennia long reign of what feminists call a "patriarchy", which began to weaken and dissolve after the Enlightenment, and almost lost complete power in the 20th and 21st centuries.

Women must be put under the authority of their father until they are married, their husband once they are married and their sons if they outlive their husbands, the best way to facilitate this to get them married as early as possible, for the greatest level of stability for any potential offspring, and for the happiness and health of the marriage. If a woman has done wrong, she should be punished. People try to protect the feminist status quo by ridiculing systematic problems as simply being a byproduct of personal issues,

psychological or physical or genetic shortcomings or just plain old insults. They absolutely fear any men who plan on coming together to control their women and enforce their policies that will determine the future of their tribe.

2. Arranged marriages

We need arranged marriages so that every man and woman of high quality racial stock is reproducing. It will require marrying girls who are young, fertile and capable of bearing children. Millions of women have been sterilized. This puts additional emphasis on looking for younger females who are still capable of having children. It will require removing feminist notions of what is a "proper" age to marry. The age of consent is an aberration. If a girl has entered puberty and is physically capable of having children then she should be married and have children. Even if a woman isn't attractive, that's not necessarily indicative of her offspring. Increasing the birthrates is of crucial importance. The reproduction needs to happen during the war, as it's quite possible that the fiery conflict will last for multiple generations. This will come naturally for the remaining fertile population in an environment where medical support and supplies do not allow the constant flow of birth control and abortions. Pills will not be delivered, condoms will not be readily available, and doctors will be occupied in treating serious and catastrophic injuries as a result of the war.

3. Eugenics

Natural law is to live in consonance with what's eugenic in the pursuit of higher evolution. We must implement racial hygiene to the entire population as the raising of the entire genetic stock at all levels of the hierarchy are needed for the functioning of a civilization. If the spiritual and physical well being of a people on all levels are not taken care off then society collapses. A society that only some can succeed in will become a hyper competitive low trust individualistic society. A society that focuses on elevating the whole will be high trust, people will work together towards common goals and the nation will grow and become strong and prosperous. Our adversaries want us fighting among each other for wealth and women when we should be working together for our racial survival.

If every generation becomes better then the last we are effectively evolving. In time we will become a superior race where even the lower class looks beautiful – which was true in ancient civilizations. Warriors and heroes who have proved themselves must produce the most offspring. Pacifist cattle are inferior stock. Reproductive capacity must absolutely be prioritized.

Feminism and Jews

Every single stage of Feminism has been destructive to civilization itself. Every single idea that it pushes and every single goal feminism ever supported has undermined the family unit and society itself. [Jews](#) were instrumental in the [Feminist movement](#). [The Jewish Women's Archive](#) wrote:

“Jewish women have played key roles in building and advancing the modern American women's movement. As activists, professionals, artists, and intellectuals, Jewish feminists have shaped every aspect of American life. Drawing on the insights of feminism, they have also transformed the Jewish community.”

[Emma Goldman](#) was an anarchist political activist and writer. Born in Kaunas, Russian Empire (now Lithuania) to a Jewish family, [Goldman emigrated to the United States in 1885](#). She wrote anarchist books and writings and was complicit in the attempted assassination of Henry Clay Frick. In her writings she promoted anarchy, homosexuality

and feminist ideas. The Netherlands's first ever feminist – [Aletta Jacobs](#) – was Jewish. She was the woman officially to attend a Dutch university, and became one of the first female physicians in the Netherlands. In 1882, she founded the world's first birth control clinic and was a leader in both the Dutch and international feminist movements. She supported deregulating prostitution and women's right to vote.

[Rosa Luxemburg](#) was a [Jewish Marxist](#), and feminist born in Poland, who became a naturalized German citizen at the age of 28. Successively, she was a member of the Social Democracy of the Kingdom of Poland and Lithuania (SDKPiL), the Social Democratic Party of Germany (SPD), the Independent Social Democratic Party (USPD) and the Communist Party of Germany (KPD). After the SPD supported German involvement in World War I in 1915, Luxemburg and Karl Liebknecht co-founded the anti-war Spartacus League (Spartakusbund) which eventually became the KPD. During the November Revolution, she co-founded the newspaper Die Rote Fahne (The Red Flag), the central organ of the Spartacist movement. Luxemburg considered the Spartacist uprising of January 1919 a blunder, but supported the attempted overthrow of the government and rejected any attempt at a negotiated solution. Friedrich Ebert's majority SPD government crushed the revolt and the Spartakusbund by sending in the Freikorps, government-sponsored paramilitary groups consisting mostly of World War I veterans. Freikorps troops captured and summarily executed Luxemburg and Liebknecht during the rebellion. Luxemburg and Liebknecht were extensively idolized as communist martyrs by the East German communist regime.

[Betty Friedan](#) was a Jewish feminist writer, Marxist and activist. A leading figure in the women's movement in the United States, her 1963 book *The Feminine Mystique* is often credited with sparking the second wave of American feminism in the 20th century and *The New York Times* called her '[The Mother of Feminism](#)'. Her birth name was Bettye Naomi Goldstein. Her belief that matriarchy was the solution to patriarchy originates from Marx's comments in *The German Ideology*, published in 1845. In this work Marx wrote that "the wives and children were the first property of the patriarchal male" and thus must be terminated. Friedan founded the National Association for the Repeal of Abortion Laws.

Jewish Feminist [Susan "Sontag" Rosenblatt](#) wrote in 1967 in the *Partisan Review* that "Mozart, Pascal, Boolean algebra, Shakespeare, parliamentary government, baroque churches, Newton, the emancipation of women, Kant, Balanchine ballets, et al. don't redeem what this particular civilization has wrought upon the world. The white race is the cancer of human history."

[Bella Savitzky Abzug](#) was a [Jewish](#) lawyer, U.S. Representative, social activist and feminist leader. In 1971, Abzug joined other leading feminists such as Gloria Steinem, Shirley Chisholm, and Betty Friedan to found the National Women's Political Caucus. In 1970, Abzug's first campaign slogan was, "This woman's place is in the House—the House of Representatives." She was later appointed to co-chair the National Commission on the Observance of International Women's Year created by President Gerald Ford's executive order, presided over the 1977 National Women's Conference, and led President Jimmy Carter's National Advisory Commission for Women. Abzug was a founder of the Commission for Women's Equality of the American Jewish Congress.

[Gloria Steinem](#) is a Jewish journalist and social political activist who became nationally recognized as a leader and a spokeswoman for the American feminist movement in the late 1960s and early 1970s. Despite growing up impoverished, Steinem somehow managed to attend elite Smith College. In 1958, [Steinem was recruited by the Central](#)

[Intelligence Agency](#) through Cord Meyers to direct an "informal group of activists" called the "Independent Research Service." This was part of Meyer's "Congress for Cultural Freedom," which created magazines like "Encounter" and "Partisan Review" to promote a left-liberal chic to oppose Marxism. Steinem, attended Communist-sponsored youth festivals in Europe, published a newspaper, reported on other participants, and helped to provoke riots. One of Steinem's CIA colleagues was Clay Felker. In the early 1960s, he became an editor at Esquire and published articles by Steinem which established her as a leading voice for the feminist movement. In 1968, as publisher of New York Magazine, he hired her as a contributing editor, and then editor of Ms. Magazine in 1971. Steinem published an article, "After Black Power, Women's Liberation" in 1969 which brought her to national fame as a feminist leader. [Steinem tried to suppress the knowledge of her CIA connections](#) in the 1970's. She and her CIA-connected friends, Katharine Graham of the Washington Post and Ford Foundation President Franklin Thomas prevented Random House from publishing information in "Feminist Revolution." Nevertheless the story appeared in the "Village Voice" on May 21, 1979. Ms. Magazine's first publisher was Elizabeth Forsling Harris, a CIA-connected PR executive who planned John F. Kennedy's Dallas motorcade route. Despite outwardly claiming to be anti-establishment, Steinem had a nine-year relationship with Stanley Pottinger, a Nixon-Ford assistant attorney general, credited with stalling FBI investigations into the assassinations of Martin Luther King, and the ex-Chilean Foreign Minister Orlando Letelier. In the 1980s, she dated Henry Kissinger.

[Robin Morgan](#) is a Jewish author, and activist. Since the early 1960s, she has been a key radical feminist member of the American Women's Movement, and a leader in the international feminist movement. Her 1970 anthology Sisterhood is Powerful has been widely credited with helping to start the contemporary feminist movement in the US, and was cited by the New York Public Library as "One of the 100 Most Influential Books of the 20th Century." She has written more than 20 books of poetry, fiction, and nonfiction, and is also known as the editor of [Ms. Magazine](#). During the 1960s, she participated in the civil rights and anti-Vietnam War movements; in the late 1960s, she was a founding member of radical feminist organizations such as New York Radical Women and W.I.T.C.H. She founded or co-founded the Feminist Women's Health Network, the National Battered Women's Refuge Network, Media Women, the National Network of Rape Crisis Centers, the Feminist Writers' Guild, the Women's Foreign Policy Council, the National Museum of Women in the Arts, the Sisterhood Is Global Institute, GlobalSister.org, and Greenstone Women's Radio Network. She also co-founded the Women's Media Center with activist Gloria Steinem and actor/activist Jane Fonda. In 2018, she was listed as one of BBC's 100 Women. In her book The Demon Lover, she wrote: "My white skin disgusts me. My passport disgusts me. They are the marks of an insufferable privilege bought at the price of others' agony. If I could peel myself inside out I would be glad. If I could become part of the oppressed I would be free." Morgan said on her feminist perspective "I feel that 'man-hating' is an honorable and viable political act, that the oppressed have a right to class-hatred against the class that is oppressing them."

[Andrea Dworkin](#) was a Jewish feminist activist and writer. Her feminist writings, beginning in 1974, span 40 years. She wrote on a wide range of topics including the lives of Joan of Arc, Margaret Papandreou, and Nicole Brown Simpson; she analyzed the literature of Charlotte Brontë, Jean Rhys, Leo Tolstoy, Kōbō Abe, Tennessee Williams, James Baldwin, and Isaac Bashevis Singer. She also wrote about topics including homosexuality, lesbianism, virginity, Israel, and 'racism'. Dworkin claimed that "Every woman's son is her potential betrayer and also the inevitable rapist or exploiter of another woman." She also presented her views clearly in the following quote; "I want to see a man beaten to a bloody pulp with a high-heel shoved in his mouth like an apple in the mouth of a pig."

[Tiina Rosenberg](#) is a Jewish professor at Stockholm University. Rosenberg has previously been a Professor of Gender Studies at Stockholm University and at Lund University, and she is the former rector of the University of the Arts Helsinki. She is a founding member of the feminist party Feminist Initiative and was formerly a member of the party's executive board.

Judith Pamela Butler is a [Jewish](#) author whose work has influenced political philosophy, ethics, and the fields of third-wave feminist, queer, and literary theory. Butler is best known for her books *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity* (1990) and *Bodies That Matter: On the Discursive Limits of Sex* (1993). [She stated in 2010](#): "As a Jew, I was taught that it was ethically imperative to speak up." Hanna Rosin is a Jewish writer, born in Israel. She is the editorial director for audio for New York Magazine. Formerly, she was the co-host of the NPR podcast *Invisibilia* with Alix Spiegel. She was co-founder of DoubleX, the now closed women's site connected to the online magazine Slate, and the DoubleX (now *The Waves*) podcast. Rosin has written for [The Atlantic](#), The Washington Post, The New Yorker, GQ, New York and The New Republic. She is the author of *God's Harvard* (2007) and [The End of Men: And the Rise of Women](#) (2012). Femen is a radical feminist group The organization became internationally known for organizing controversial topless protests. Founded in Ukraine, the group is now based in France. It was historically [funded by George Soros](#); a Jewish billionaire investor, but he canceled support for the organization soon after it announced it would launch FEMEN Israel. It has also [received donations from Jed Sunden](#), a Brooklyn-born Jewish businessman.