I did some digging on Amy Coney-Barrett and hit an interesting, disturbing bit of info. -GreatAwakening

winn

I first used a quick AI search and, for whatever reason it decided to tell me this:

Amy Coney Barrett's parents are John and Linda Coney. **Her father was an attorney who served as the chief counsel for the United States Agency for International Development (USAID)**, and her mother was a teacher. Barrett has said that her parents greatly influenced her values and principles.

Now I looked further into the claim and found that her father's name is actually Michael and I didn't see any info on him, but it's still weird to me. Why would the AI say that? kek.

Anyways, <u>this</u> is the thing I found, and it's concerning. It looks to be yet another vector for "a higher loyalty" to manipulate our public servants. ACB is part of something called "People of Praise".

... Members of the group swear a lifelong oath of loyalty,

called a covenant, to one another, and are assigned and are accountable to a personal adviser, called a "head" for men and a "handmaid" for women. The group teaches that husbands are the heads of their wives and should take authority over the family.

Current and former members say that the heads and handmaids give direction on important decisions, including whom to date or marry, where to live, whether to take a job or buy a home, and how to raise children.

Legal scholars said that such loyalty oaths could raise legitimate questions about a judicial nominee's independence and impartiality. ...

So, it would appear that we need to find out who ACB's "handmaid" is. There's no telling how deep the manipulation could go with such a structure. Who's her handmaid's handmaid? Where does it stop? How do their spouses fit into such a structure?

Ms. Barrett, through a spokesman at the Notre Dame Law School, where she is on the faculty, declined several requests to be interviewed for this article.

She declines through a spokesman to discuss this valid concern...

A leader of the People of Praise, Craig S. Lent, said that the group was not "nefarious or controversial," but that **its policy** was not to confirm whether Ms. Barrett or anyone else was a member.

Secretive group, got it.

Ms. Barrett was questioned in particular about a 1998 scholarly article in which she and her co-author argued that sometimes **Catholic trial judges should recuse themselves from the sentencing phase of death penalty cases.**

Hmm

Current and former members of People of Praise said that Ms. Barrett and her husband, who have seven children, both belong to the group, and that **their fathers have served as leaders**. The community, founded in 1971, claims about 1,800 adult members in 22 locations in North America and the Caribbean.

Both fathers were leaders...husband also in the group...group says that husbands are the head of the wife (this is true)...power structure. Wife has a "handmaid", husband has a "head".

Some former members criticize the group for deviating from Catholic doctrine, which does not teach "male headship," in contrast to some evangelical churches. **The personal advisers can be too controlling**, the critics say; **they may betray confidences, and too often they supplant the role of priest.**

Ok, now we're getting into the thick of it. So the "heads" and "handmaids" do in fact control their subjects. "Betray confidences" sounds like some blackmailing might be going on...

Adrian J. Reimers, a professor of philosophy at Notre Dame, was one of the founding members of the People of Praise, but he was ejected 13 years later after he said he increasingly questioned **the leaders' authority over members' lives** and deviation from Catholic doctrine. He later wrote a critical manuscript, "Not Reliable Guides."

I couldn't find that manuscript but found <u>this</u> by the same author. I will keep looking for Not Reliable Guides.

Here's a small bit from the first thing, I sugguest you read it all though as it appears our SCOTUS has a **full-blown cult member** on it:

if the coordinators should ask the members to give half their savings to fund some work which they (i.e., the coordinators) believe that God wants done, then ideally every community member will recognize that request as a personal command.

Anyways, back to the main article:

Mr. Reimers said in an interview that the breaking point came after he objected to instructions a handmaid had given to his wife. When he took his concerns to his head, he said he was told that his wife was "**trying to undermine God's plan for her life**" **and that the couple should follow the handmaid's guidance**. There are some indications that both Ms. Barrett and the People of Praise may have **tried to obscure Ms. Barrett's membership in the group.**

Gee, why ever would they want to keep this hidden? ... Links to issues of the group's <u>magazine</u>, Vine & Branches, that mentioned her have **disappeared from its website**, **some of them very recently**. One included an announcement that Ms. Barrett and her husband had adopted a child; another had a photograph of Ms. Barrett attending a women's gathering.

Every nominee for the federal bench is required to fill out a detailed questionnaire for the Senate Judiciary Committee. **Ms. Barrett did not list any religious affiliations on her questionnaire**, though many nominees have in the past. Administration officials said on Thursday that **the White House has been advising all its judicial nominees that they need not list religious affiliations on their Senate questionnaires**.

Ms. Barrett did, however, list that she was a trustee of Trinity School from 2015 to 2017, giving no further detail. Many schools have that name, but **this one was founded and run by People of Praise, and trustees must be members. Mr.** Lent confirmed that Ms. Barrett was indeed a Trinity trustee until very recently.

The Senate questionnaire also asks nominees to list their public speeches, and to supply the committee with recordings or texts. Ms. Barrett listed a Trinity School commencement address she gave on June 11, 2011, but according to a committee aide, **she did not submit a copy of that speech.**

I wonder why...wonder what she said in that speech.

"I'm concerned that this was not sufficiently transparent," said M. Cathleen Kaveny, a professor at Boston College Law School who studies the relationship between law, religion and morality. "We have to disclose everything from the Elks Club to the alumni associations we belong to — why

didn't she disclose this?"

https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/People_of_Praise

So to answer MAGA's question about just what the hell has crawled up ACB's ass, *she's in a fucking religious cult*, that's what. We need to dig on this group anons. We need to find her handler, and her husband's handler too. This is total bullshit. Mr. President, we MUST impeach this treasonous judge.

Edit- Found Not Reliable Guides

Here is the direct PDF: <u>https://files.catbox.moe/mc07k9.pdf</u>

An exerpt from page 124:

In this, perhaps more than in any other factor, we find the roots of the complaint by so many former members that the community is too rigid, legalistic and stifling. **Reason is reduced to ordered outlines and algorithms. Love is reduced to loyalty and ordered service. Spirituality is reduced to "being a good brother or sister in the community". And interiority is reduced to living submissively according to community order.** Communities of this sort cannot become integral parts of Holy Mother Church, for motherhood is foreign to their very essence.

Edit2- Well well well, what's a good CULT without a little **CHILD ABUSE**? From that Wiki above:

1970s-80s

In October 2020, former member of the community, Sarah Kuehl, said **she and others had been sexually abused**

when children during the 1970s by a community member who lived in her household. (Kuehl's family at the time belonged to Servants of the Lord, a covenant community that later merged with People of Praise.) Kuehl alleged that leaders in the People of Praise later attempted to "hide and cover up" what they knew about the abuse, as they kept her abuser in the People of Praise community.[64]

2000s

In June 2021, the Washington Post interviewed nine members of a Facebook group who claimed to have been sexually abused at People of Praise community, and one person who claimed physical abuse.[65][66]

Internal investigation

In 2020, the coordinator of People of Praise, Craig Lent, said in an e-mail that an expert investigation was being conducted into the allegations. Lent said, "We consider allegations of sexual misconduct very seriously and invite anyone with information about any acts of child sexual abuse to act consistent with our policies, which include immediately reporting to the appropriate authorities."[67]

• • •

(conspiratorial rant) Remind me again how many SCOTUS judges have adopted children. What the actual fuck is going on? There's not that many judges, can't be a coincidence. (end rant)