Preface

I (bronze) am writing this document *personally* and independently of the words of other staff from Hindsight in order to explain some of my decisions in Hindsight, which have been brought up out-of-context in this document which should be read in parallel to this one. Most of this linked document is built on exaggerations and hasty conclusions, but some of it holds some truth *(even a broken clock is right at least twice a day!)*

I will not be making stand-ins for other people unless it is a blatant lie that needs immediate addressing. Anything else would make this personal response take too much time, and I care too little to dedicate more time and energy than I need to this absolute zerg of a document post.


Headers that are in "quotes" are responses to headers from this original document. The plan is to get this document out today, and I know full damn well Yuzia will say some bullshit like "oh it was rushed as a half-assed shielding defense!" as he always does. Please know who this person really is. Speaking of which...

Grievances With Yuziakori

Oh man! It's the quote-unquote Ad Hominem time! It is vital you know who is preaching for us to make our community safer, while nitpicking one-off situations in this spear campaign.

Yuzia cites a document by a mass groomer, who groomed me, as a valid source. The things I was "exposed" for on this document are things I was groomed into. Yuzia is sympathizing with a pedophile.

Additional useful information:



https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Q-sWvx_6sZgy_8MhlV6hv-x6QE_o5lHPPDEdBkQswCk/edit?tab=t.0

Here We Go

"The Changed Avatar Policy Inconsistency"

When establishing Hindsight *(when I originally planned for the game to get no more than ten concurrent players)* I told myself that I would try to ban people as little as needed in order to not sting player counts, which unfortunately meant I had no desire to ban Changed avatars at the time among other things. During the leadership change, the team, *without me*, shifted the priorities to keeping the community safe over prioritizing fewest necessary bans. The information printed on this document is outdated, as I now stand with the rest of the team's standpoint on prioritizing community safety over player counts. I do take accountability for that short-sighted greed of mine, and I respect the decision to bring that to light.

Also, I still stand with bullying people who expose our community to NSFW media (Changed). Fuck you.

The Leadership Change Following the July Doc

Hindsight's leadership changed after a deceptive document was posted about Hindsight by my groomer and their associates. I will not expand on this any further than I need to as this is an uncomfortable topic for me which I have already defended and been mostly forgiven for. Reign now leads the project, and after I came back, I now have more of a hand in the way things operate. Of course, Reign still retains final say on many things.

"Hindsight Claims Compared to Reality"

This case is a single nitpick of one avatar resembling the Squid dog from "Changed." Yuzia could have put this header under "The Changed Avatar Policy Inconsistency," but he needs to artificially bloat the length of his document as it is not made in good faith.

This header outlines a one-off situation from while the team was still adjusting to banning for Changed avatars. A lot of this is really grasping at straws, I'm afraid. There is no extra defense that can be made for this type of bullshit. And yes, again, I advocate for the harassment of people who present their fetishes on Roblox. Fuck you doubly.

"Conclusion"

> In summary, community concerns were not taken seriously by either Yuka or Bronze. External pressure had to be applied for there to be a shift in the policy that had previously allowed bad audiences to reach Hindsight. Prior to that external pressure, the only shift in policy was a facilitation and endorsement of harassment under the guise of "bullying." There is no reason as to why Hindsight should have needed external pressure to implement proper policies against an expected bad audience that should not be reaching the community or the game in the first place.

"External Pressure is the Reason They Ban Changed Avatars Now!"

External pressure had no influence on the changing standpoint of "Changed" avatars. While it is not far-fetched to assume such, it simply is not reality, and this is merely them putting words in our mouths. This change in policy only happened because I was no longer at the head of the game & community, which left the vote for the policy change that I had in a stalemate free to go through. After my return, I vocalized how much more I like the new policy for "Changed" content, and it does really help that we keep that fetishy bullshit out of our ever-growing community. *Fun little tidbit, Hindsight on average never had more than 25 concurrent players before the Reign's reupload. The growing community certainly made this change necessary.*

"They Endorse Harassment!"

For the third time, I believe it is morally correct to harass and belittle people exposing children to their fetishes on Roblox. I will not elaborate further on this. Please stop tearing the context away from this to make me look bad. If you believe people with predatory tendencies deserve better, then you are the problem.


"The Start of a Long Road of Degeneracy"


> While this might be the most minuscule case recorded in this document, it is one of the first documented instances in which Hindsight tolerates fetish-adjacent content, dismisses community concerns, encourages harassment rather than proper moderation and creates policy exceptions for specific audiences/individuals.

Holy strawman, batman. We literally do not tolerate this.

> Hindsight tolerates fetish-adjacent content

We've banned people for having goop dripping on their avatars before. I don't know what else you want me to say. This is a [strawman fallacy] and should not be taken seriously.





We do not dismiss community concerns. *(Funnily enough, Yuzia neglects to give examples on what this means.)*

We have a ticket system which we actively monitor and respond to quickly in order to address said community concerns.

We do not make policy exceptions or practice nepotism

While we do not make exceptions, we are subject to forgetting to complete tickets. With rapidly changing policy and management after Arisu and Vex's leave, we've mostly dropped all long-standing cases. While I'm sure many of us would take actions on our close friends with the heaviest of hearts, the rules apply to everyone indiscriminately. Following the administrative reform, further nepotism will be acted on.

“Cotton: Reinstatement & Protection of a Predator”

Finally, something that won’t be a fucking drag to write about. I do have some reasons behind the way I behaved in relation to Cotton. This situation’s a bitch, and my explanations for my choices may not be satisfactory, so strap in!

WHO IS THIS KID? Random kid:

Cotton was a community member commonly regarded as very annoying by the team, he had patterns of exposure to transfur content during the time it was frowned upon in-game, and completely disallowed in the Discord community.

The First Ban

Cotton was originally banned for making a transphobic remark to a user. I have a very shallow memory of this era of Hindsight, so I’m afraid this is all I can say. I do know he was unbanned following an appeal, though I forget why exactly. I think this is the least of the concerns regarding Cotton.

The Transfur Art

Cotton is famous for an art piece he commissioned from an artist of a feizao on the tail of his character covering it in goo. The implication is transfur. Following this, was an outrage of disproportionate size. I acted in haste while also definitely lacking the care in the world in hindsight.

> Bronze continued to be on Cotton's side, justifying that his escalating behavior was due to "paranoia" and that he needed to be comforted.

This is out of context. Cotton was acting erratically and if I recall correctly, suicidally. At the time I was closer to him as I grew attached to people far too easily before the July situation, so I may have been a little soft on him. The escalation of his sexual behavior following the transfur commission was unaccounted for as it was beyond our knowledge.

This is where this situation really becomes a bitch! Acting on the artist and Cotton. So, a lot of uproar was caused internally from the complication of it being transfur art, and less action towards who actually made the request.

And Yuzia attaches old art of my OC I commissioned from when I was being groomed. Okay. That is completely irrelevant to this topic. What a bitch.

It is incorrect to call this ageplay however, it was age regression in actuality. Yuzia is outlining actions I was groomed into making.

> Bronze is not exempt from the fact he contributed to making Uzi a vulnerable target for people who wished to commission fetish-related artwork, such as ageplay & transfur.

In my response document from January 2026, I did my absolute damnedest to apologize to anyone I might've hurt while being groomed. Hell, I even did this face-to-face with the redacted artist in question. We were both about two years apart, for context. While yes, I am 18 now, I was not then. <u>Please know that Yuzia sympathizes with a soon-to-be convicted pedophile by blaming me for actions I was groomed into doing by them.</u>

> On this date, it was revealed that Cotton disclosed details about his personal vore fetish to a former moderator & contributor for Hindsight who was a minor at the time. Instead of this being addressed, Bronze instructed staff to disregard the topic entirely..
>
> Bronze attempted to get the staff to move past it despite the clearly inappropriate action that needed to be properly addressed rather than discarded & moved past, as this was simply another step in Cotton's behavior escalation.

He was already banned. Why do we need to talk about someone who is banned and no longer our problem? Thanks to the vagueness of what we got as evidence, it wasn't really damning and it was pretty similar to what he was already banned for, hence why I tried to shut down the conversation. It's pointless bickering.
Oh man, if only the staff and I knew how deep the Cotton rabbit hole really went.

> Bronze continued to repeatedly advocate for Cotton's unban, claiming that he had the right to veto moderation actions while citing an outdated promise to not ban Cotton from the game.

He was spamming my DMs with shit relevant to appealing. Really I just wanted to shut him up. I realize this came at the expense of the team, and worse now. This topic is really outdated and I don't think bringing it up really proves anything about Hindsight.

From here onward, I'm going to gloss past most of this point of his document now, as it's a lot of repeating himself and talking himself up. I fucked up with Cotton, and I'm glad that shit's over. I was pretty damn tired of putting up with him myself. When the news came out that he groomed yet another person, I put the nail in this coffin. TL;DR I was too forgiving and uneducated on the kind of person he was. I will not make this mistake again.

I expect this part of the document to be up for a lot of scrutiny, and I will add onto it if there is anything I failed to address here.

"The Java/Sakura Cover-Up"

Now we're getting into some fuckin' ancient territory. Not only is calling this a "cover-up" a massive exaggeration considering I made a public announcement about it, I'm debating whether or not this is even worth explaining anymore as Java has been clean for upwards of a year now. The nature of this situation made it really difficult to do anything about as we were minors at the time. I am being painted a villain for trying to keep people from being harassed for their mistakes, which is different from people who have patterns of being sexual deviants (Changed avatars on Roblox).

> Bronze gave Java a second chance because "he saw good in them" and because he also saw priority in not having to "restructure" his game massively due to the removal of contributed assets that Java's removal from Hindsight would imply.

God forbid I forgive people or want things to be easy for me. Holy mother of fuck we're really clinging at straws right now.

Our desire to forgive and believe in peoples' change has been taken advantage of by characters like Cotton before, but we do really believe people can improve. This held true for Java. Forgiveness is a virtue which braindead Twitter users tend to lack. I hope you know Yuzia is only using you for how easy you all cling to outrage.

> Prior to the June 2025 confrontation, Bronze was already aware of Java's NSFW history & behaviors, Arisu asked Bronze about the Hindsight policies regarding NSFW made in private 18+ spaces. Bronze immediately pointed to Java (Sakura), revealing he already knew about Java's conduct.

All references to a "historical" incident were about a different, older case from when they were younger. I'm talkin like 14 or 15.

> No evidence was provided to support this claim, and given Java's activity being far more recent at the time than claimed, this argument was simply unsupported.

This quote is from the same jag-off that's making strawman fallacies and jumping straight to conclusions, no questions asked.

> Additionally, the mention of "removing their work" comes off as a priority, as it should have been the least of priorities in a situation like this.

I quote this as a priority knowing Roblox game fans.

In summary, Yuzia disagrees with me, and chooses to bring my desire to forgive people to light as many buzz-words bearing negative connotations.

The rest of this section is just Yuzia spewing his sexual deviance and spite fueled meaningless bullshit and I will gloss over it all.

Recapping the TL;DR

"The Changed Avatar Policy Inconsistency"

We never had plans to go big, and for this we had laid-back rules. After ownership was changed, the game blew up, and a new policy which I was preventing from being implemented myself was implemented in response to this. Turns out, the game really fuckin needed this. The prying the doc does at this really amounts to nothing, as it's all so outdated that it's practically worthless in the long run.

"Cotton: Reinstantment & Protection of a Predator" [sic]

Cotton is a showing of where our "everyone deserves forgiveness" policy can fall flat. We were lied to repeatedly, and my advocacy for him under the impression he would change was delusional. We had no play in him grooming people though.

"The Java/Sakura Cover-Up"

Once again, Yuzia uses big words to exaggerate the severity of a situation. What happened with java was an example of the "everyone deserves forgiveness" policy going RIGHT! Java has improved a ton since many of the issues the zerg doc covers. Yuzia sees trouble with the way I tried to keep them along with the project as an overstep, considering the recency of what happened. They cite that the punishment I provided is insufficient, which is opinionated.

I'm about done here

This clarifies everything that needs clarification from me. I may or may not update this document as needed. Know that Yuzia does not write in good faith regardless of what he may actually be saying, he does the things he does out of a long-standing hatred he holds. Any further writing from him will not receive acknowledgement from me, as I've defended all I needed to defend here.

Know this is who Yuzia is. This behavior has been a pattern from him for years.

